

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3166	Name	Keith	Соре
Method	Survey			
Date		_		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I understand that there may be trade off of green belt land, i.e. use some existing land and create a equivalent green belt land elsewhere. This is not what is was designed for. Also, the area is congested with people and traffic and the current infrastructure, (doctors, roads, schools) cannot currently cope.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Q1 says about protecting green belt and this says it will 'release limited green belt land'. That is an anomaly. Also, too much extra housing in Harlow will ultimately cause the merger of Roydon with Harlow. This is unacceptable,

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Expanding Harlow to increase housing doesn't make sense. There will never be enough jobs and you will encourage more commuting via roads and rail that cannot cope currently. Also, Harlow is a deprived area. Why would you wish to make an even bigger deprived area?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Cope





- 4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... Epping?
 No opinion
 Buckhurst Hill?
 No opinion
 Loughton Broadway?
 No opinion
 Chipping Ongar?
 No opinion
 Loughton High Road?
 No opinion
 Waltham Abbey?
 No opinion
 Please explain your choice in Question 4:
- 5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Until you can state exactly how this would be promoted then you have no evidence that it can or ever will. This is just wishful thinking in order to justify proposed housing expansion.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Соре





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett: Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois: Roydon (Draft Policy P 9) No Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon: Traffic congestion and pollution. Already problematical at peak times, this will just get worse. Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing: Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood: Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Name Keith

Cope





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

it simply won't be enough. These service are struggling to cope now. This plan will make it worse.

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Cope