

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	2543	Name	Alan	Davies
Method	Survey			
Date		-		

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: <a href="https://docs.org/licenses/lice

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

With respect to chigwell any development is continued urbanisation of the green belt. The village has inadequate infrastructure in terms of roads education medical facilies to accomodate the proposals and will place increased strain on the existing infrastructre

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Any development is continued urbanisation of the green belt. Chigwell Parish council admit that most brown fill sites have been utilised and with respect to limes farm site they state what space that does exist provides welcome relief for sports and leisure facilities. Part of the Grange Farm site includes a tree preservation order and part lies within a Biodiversity Action Plan Priority Habitat. At Grange Hill there is acknowledgement that there will be a negative effect in principle due to its development in Green Belt. The proposed housing and community facilities will have a negative effect as it constitutes development in green belt.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response	to	the	Draft	Local	Plan	Consultation	2016	(Regulation	18)
nooponoo			Diait	Looal	· · · ·	oonsultation	2010	(nogunation	,

Stakeholder ID 2543

Name Alan

Davies

1





Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in... 4. Epping? No opinion **Buckhurst Hill?** No Loughton Broadway? No Chipping Ongar? No opinion Loughton High Road? No Waltham Abbey? No opinion Please explain your choice in Question 4: These proposals would have a detrimental impact upon residential amenities highway safety, inadequate parking. The proposals do nothing to enable successes of existing local businesses provide leisure opportunities,

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

It is implicit with increased development of existing sites inevitably there will be increased traffic on already congested roads and the necessity for increased parking. At chigwell the roads are already congested because of parked commuter vehicles. This has increased considerably since parking restrictions and parking costs at Buckhurst Hill

protect the local environment. They will increase pollution, noise and loss of green spaces

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2543 Name Alan Davies





Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area? 6. Epping (Draft Policy P 1): No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Epping: Loughton (Draft Policy P 2) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton: Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey: Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar: Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill: North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6) No opinion Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

The village has neither the facilities nor infrastructure to accomodate the proposals. These can be categorised under Transport- All of the proposed sites will require a means of transport whether it be from home to school, village shops or station that will require increased parking. The roads are already gridlocked at peak times. It is highly unlikely that anyone will walk to a station from Gravel Lane Rolls Park or Chigwell Row or wait to take a subsidised bus service. This would be of no value to the elderly for shopping as the few shops are convenience stores and one has to travel to debden loughton or Barkingside. There are no health facilities, other than one dentist no doctors. -Education - both state schools Chigwell Primary and West Hatch are filled to capacity. At present children from Chigwell Row have to commute. Ninety percent attending Chigwell School are driven to and from school. Much of the proposed housing will be "affordable "housing attracting younger families with potentially an increase in schoolchildren e.g. with increased housing

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2543

Name Alan

Davies



epping forest district planning our future local plan

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree Please explain your choice in Question 7: Answered in previous section

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Chapted 5/ paragraph 124

There is nothing in the proposals to alleviate parking near the shops, adjacent road or station. Or to alleviate the flow of traffic through Chigwell which is currently gridlocked at school opening and closing times by the King William Pub.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2543 Name Alan Davies