



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

		<u> </u>		Eileen	Sewell	
Method		Survey				
Date	е					
		elements of th	ie full response suc	ch as formatting an	cil's database of responses to the Draft images may not appear accurately. She Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestd	ould you wish to review
Sur	vey Respor	nse:				
1.	Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?					
	Strongly agr	ree				
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:					
	The vision i	s good - but	will it be delive	red?		
	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?	
	•	ain vour choid	ce in Question 2:			
	-	_	hould be the las	t resort.		
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around I	arlow?	
	Disagree	·	·	•		
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:			
	Harlow may	have the sh	nops, services an	nd facilities and	ant to grow but it should not be o	n green belt.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

One of the main employment sites in Nazeing is in Hoe Lane which is a country lane which has been ruined by heavy traffic. Most of the traffic to Hoe Lane comes via the village centre and causes traffic jams and heavy pollution. To even think about development in this area is crazy. Any development in Nazeing should be away from the centre towards Broxbourne from where you can easily reach the Main Roads and Motorways.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Why are all the sites green belt? We have old nursery sites in Nazeing which are derelict and some have been for many years. The development of these green belt sites would have a harmful effect on the appearance of the village. It is so unnecessary and developing these sites will add to the problems that we have like traffic congestion and pollution, regular power cuts and sewers overflowing from floodwater. Nazeing is not a typical village, many of the residents work in London and so it would make a lot of sense to locate any development

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)





away from the centre towards Broxbourne station where there are huge plots of land which can be developed, these would open up possible development in the future and improve some the appearance of the village.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Nazeing has major problems with traffic (including HGVs) and is virtually deadlocked if there are problems on the M25. It is a rat-run to bypass the Waltham Cross/Cheshunt/Wormley triangle and affects traffic in the village severely at the crossroads. There are also major problems including flooding, power and sewers. These all need to be sorted out before any development (housing or employment) takes place.

An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Couldn't find any documentation.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

It has been really difficult to find a questionnaire and also to find and understand the information and I have had to rely on friends to help me. There has been a public meeting organised by a local action group but NOTHING from the Parish Council who, judging by a recent decision regarding development on Green Belt, do not share the vision of this document and do not seem to be acting in the interests of the village and its residents.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)