

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1997 Name Elaine Frost

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

No information about improved infrastructure

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

It is wrong to use green built land, once built on it is gone forever! Harlow appears to wish to expand so build within Harlow not outside.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Because you are proposing to build on green belt land. This contradicts what your plan aims to do.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1997 Name Elaine Frost

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The new sites in Hoe Lane are accessed by a very narrow country lane unsuitable for lorries. They destroy the road surface and cause problems passing cars. Most of the jobs in Nazeing are taken by workers who travel in from outside of the area.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

The proposed sites are on green built land. Derelict and brownfield land have not been suggested. The proposed 220 houses and over 80 already approved will increase pressure on infrastructure. There are plenty

of current problems with infrastructure that need sorting first: oversubscribed school, lack of public transport, poorly maintained sewers that leak during heavy rain, flooding, road safety etc

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

the ARUP assessment is not credible. There are not any vacancies at the school

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Green Belt land should not be built on. It is meant to be protected from being built on so do not understand why and how you can even propose it, especially since there are brownfield options to explore. Current infrastructure should be improved vastly before even considering proposing new houses. Impact on the character of Nazeing, nature and the environment.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Preserve our Green Belt Land, do not destroy it. Improve the bus service in Nazeing rather than practically eliminate it

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)