

Name:

Part B – Your representation on the further Main Modifications and/or supporting documents

If you wish to make more than one representation, please complete a separate [Part B form](#) for each representation and clearly print your name at the top of this form.

4. Which further Main Modification and/or supporting document does your representation relate to? (Representations are only invited on further Main Modifications within the Schedule. These are denoted by red text. The reference number can be found in the first column in red i.e. **MM2**, **MM11** and each Supporting Document has a reference number beginning with ED).

Any representation on a supporting document should clearly state (in question 6) which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific further Main

MM no. Supporting document reference

5. Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document:
(Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms)

- a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
- b) Sound Yes No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail

Positively prepared Effective
Justified Consistent with national policy

6. Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Please see separate sheet attached to this representation for our position on MM20.

The amended text could be clearer in defining the uses that are sought in relation to Latton priory by referring to employment land for office and research and development and industrial processes (within Class E(g)).

CEG/HLM have no objection to this modification.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **further Main Modification and/or supporting document** legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

8. Have you attached any documents with this representation which specifically relate to a further MM or supporting document?

Yes No

Signature:

Date 09/12/2022

Epping Forest District Local Plan - Further Main Modifications Consultation

Representations on Behalf of CEG Ltd and Hallam Land Management Ltd

MM20

This document sets out the representations made in response to the consultation on the Further Main Modifications (28 Oct – 9 Dec 2022) to the Epping Forest District Local Plan 2011-2033 (Submission Version December 2017) The representations are submitted by David Lock Associates (DLA) on behalf of the land promoters, Hallam Land Management (HLM) and CEG, who are the promoters and prospective developers of Latton Priory garden community.

MM20 proposes to amend paragraph 2.126 which is the preamble to policy SP5 supplementary to remove 'Class B1 employment land' and replace it with 'employment land for office and research and development uses (within Class E)'. This reflects the changes to the use classes order relating to B1 uses (light industrial uses), which have been revoked and replaced in the use classes order with Class E(g) Commercial uses which can be carried out in residential areas without detriment to amenity and include Office, Research and development and light industrial uses. The amended text could be clearer in defining the uses that are sought in relation to Latton Priory by referring to employment land for office and research and development and industrial processes (within Class E(g)). HLM/CEG have no objection to this modification.

The Council should ensure that any consequential amendments are made, including to the Glossary of the Plan such that employment sites should not be restricted to subsections of Class E rather than Class E as a whole (see MM113).