

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

---

**Part A**

---

**Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public**

<b>Personal Details</b>	<b>Agent's Details (if applicable)</b>	
Title	Mr	
First Name	Terry	
Last Name	Blanks	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

---

## Part B

---

### REPRESENTATION

**To which further Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 11

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's note to Epping Forest District Council (Examination Document reference number ED141), October 2022 (ED144-ED144A)

**Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

The number of homes proposed is inconsistent with that proposed especially as the Latton Priory development has increased their number from 1,050 to 1,500

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.**

Be consistent and do not add confusion.

See your records

## REPRESENTATION

**To which further Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 12

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's note to Epping Forest District Council (Examination Document reference number ED141), October 2022 (ED144-ED144A)

**Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared,Effective,Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

A reduction in Gypsy pitches without saying which ones or where is not clear to those trying to make sense of the plan

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.**

Provide clarity

## REPRESENTATION

**To which further Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 15

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's note to Epping Forest District Council (Examination Document reference number ED141), October 2022 (ED144-ED144A)

**Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

The number of houses proposed is not clear. 3,300 or 3,400.

Needs clarity

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.**

The number of houses proposed is not clear. 3,300 or 3,400.

Needs clarity

## REPRESENTATION

**To which further Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 41

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's note to Epping Forest District Council (Examination Document reference number ED141), October 2022 (ED144-ED144A)

**Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

If developers do not install charging points who will? Please do not weaken proposals to let off developers proper contribution to sustainability

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.**

If developers do not install charging points who will? Please do not weaken proposals to let off developers proper contribution to sustainability

## REPRESENTATION

**To which further Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 87

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's note to Epping Forest District Council (Examination Document reference number ED141), October 2022 (ED144-ED144A)

**Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

Any weakening of the scale and timetable of infrastructure must be avoided. Unless it is completed (preferably in advance) there is a danger it will never appear.

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.**

Any weakening of the scale and timetable of infrastructure must be avoided. Unless it is completed (preferably in advance) there is a danger it will never appear.

## REPRESENTATION

**To which further Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 96

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's note to Epping Forest District Council (Examination Document reference number ED141), October 2022 (ED144-ED144A)

**Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared,Effective,Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

Any weakening of the scale and timetable of infrastructure must be avoided. Unless it is completed (preferably in advance) there is a danger it will never appear.

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.**

Any weakening of the scale and timetable of infrastructure must be avoided. Unless it is completed (preferably in advance) there is a danger it will never appear.

## REPRESENTATION

**To which further Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 109

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's note to Epping Forest District Council (Examination Document reference number ED141), October 2022 (ED144-ED144A)

**Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared,Effective,Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

Any weakening of the scale and timetable of infrastructure must be avoided. Unless it is completed (preferably in advance) there is a danger it will never appear.

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.**

Any weakening of the scale and timetable of infrastructure must be avoided. Unless it is completed (preferably in advance) there is a danger it will never appear.



## REPRESENTATION

**To which further Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?**

MM no: 115

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's note to Epping Forest District Council (Examination Document reference number ED141), October 2022 (ED144-ED144A)

**Do you consider this further Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

**Please give details of why you consider the further Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

The proposed housing trajectory is inconsistent and confusing as to to the apparent reduction of 500 homes at Water Lane but the Latton Priory developer has increased his plan from 1,050 to 1,500.

This confusion in figures is a prime target for exploitation by developers

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the further Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise and concise as possible. If your response exceeds 300 words please also provide an executive summary of no more than 300 words.**

The proposed housing trajectory is inconsistent and confusing as to to the apparent reduction of 500 homes at Water Lane but the Latton Priory developer has increased his plan from 1,050 to 1,500.

This confusion in figures is a prime target for exploitation by developers.

AND 25% OF THE WHOLE OF EFDC'S PLANNED HOUSING IN NORTH WEALD IS UNFAIR AND LIKELY TO CAUSE CONGESTION, UPSET AND OUTRAGE WHEN OR IF CONSTRUCTION BEGINS

Signature: T F Blanks Date:  
05/12/2022