

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details	Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Mr	
First Name	Mark	
Last Name	Cadman	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 78

Supporting document reference:

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Lack of appropriate local consultation, no non IT engagement, no public messaging and no public access to the required information to make a informed decision.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The number of houses would mean local infrastructure would be overwhelmed and local lives would be put at risk.

Pollution would kill children playing and walking to school

Existing roads are narrow, bendy and congested and cannot cope with the current traffic. A vehicular and pedestrian bridge is an absolute requirement. Impact on emergency, delivery, waste and operational vehicles and services would be grave.

Local community and healthcare facilities must be extended and expanded to cover the additional people. A new GP / Health Hub is an absolute must.

Local schools are already full, so the need to provide a new school is an absolute must.

The necessary green infrastructure must be provided to support south Epping and across all of Epping.

Local Doctors, Dentists would be overrun because of the additional people, so additional premises and additional services are an absolute must.

school places are in short supply, so a new school must be built.

Road congestion and air pollution would put children, adults and people later in life at grave risk. So additional infrastructure must be built and services must be expanded.

Air pollution would put local people at greater risk of death.

Local services at breaking point which mean that local services and infrastructure must be extended and expanded.

Signature: Mark Cadman Date:
13/09/2021