

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details	Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Cllr	
First Name	Lesley	
Last Name	Paine	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 202

Supporting document reference: B. Sustainability Appraisal Report Addendum, June 2021 (ED128/ EB210)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Effective, Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The amendment of the section under ecology waters down the obligation of the contractor to protect existing trees and habitats through a Construction Management Plan.

The section on heritage is watered down and lacking factual content

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Removal of the reference to a Construction Management Plan should be omitted and indirect impacts arising through construction need to be taken into account. Trees should not be removed unnecessarily and replaced with saplings as they provide a mature barrier to the motorway. The removal of trees on this site is deleterious to the air quality in this area and is one of the only areas of deciduous woodland at this end of the village providing not only a habitat for creatures but also an air filter to the M11 adjacent to the site. Sound from the motorway has been noted as an issue within the village and this area of woodland does provide some screening to adjacent properties. This sound barrier needs to be replaced and upgraded to suitable levels so people can live so near to the motorway.

I agree that the Quality Review Panel should be consulted on the design especially with consideration to the quality of build and the sound attenuation required within the properties to comply with today's standards.

Although references to Chambers Farmhouse and pump which are listed but sited further along The Street remain but are watered down, reference to Lamberts, which is of historical interest due to its links with Elinor Glyn and Winston Churchill should be included as a property worth noting to the south side of The Street and opposite this site.

Signature: Lesley Paine Date:
08/09/2021