

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details	Agent's Details (if applicable)	
Title	Ms	
First Name	Lesley	
Last Name	Paine	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which Main Modification number and/or supporting document of the Local Plan does your representation relate to?

MM no: 201

Supporting document reference: A. Council's response to Actions outlined in Inspector's post examination hearing advice (Examination document reference number ED98), July 2021 (ED133)

Do you consider this Main Modification and/or supporting document of the Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Yes

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

Please give details of why you consider the Main Modification and/or supporting document is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Modifications made to the wording of MM201 water down the control on the design of buildings placed on the site.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification and/or supporting document legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The word sustain has been replaced with preserve or WHEREVER POSSIBLE . I don't agree with wherever possible being added. The statement also 'including the contribution made by their settings' has been removed thus allowing for no account being taken of the surroundings to the existing buildings and their position within the village setting. I believe that the statement 'Development proposals should preserve the special architectural or historic interest, character, appearance and contribution made by these Listed buildings and their settings.' should not be deleted.

More importantly, I believe that the final statement of this paragraph should remain: 'including through appropriate layout and HIGH QUALITY DESIGN/MATERIALS.'

In fact, I feel strongly, that the statement made under MM202 that 'Development proposals for this site should be considered and informed by the Quality Review Panel' should also apply to MM201. In fact, this is far more important here considering the location of this site within the village.

Access to Daubneys Farm is restricted and residents have parked on the adjacent land at the entrance for many years as there is very little available. This is also a public footpath and must allow for pedestrian access to the fields.

This is an ancient site shown on the 1841 Tithe map, The current owner did make a tentative application for the conversion of the barns to residential. To prevent overdevelopment and ruining the setting of these buildings, I believe that the 10 additional houses on this plot should allow for the potential residential conversion of the barns.

Signature: Lesley Paine Date:
08/09/2021