

Name:

Part B – Your representation on the Main Modifications and/or supporting documents

If you wish to make more than one representation, please complete a separate [Part B form](#) for each representation and clearly print your name at the top of this form.

4. Which **Main Modification number and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to? (Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2 and each Supporting Document has a reference number beginning with ED).

Any representation on a supporting document should clearly state (in question 6) which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

MM no.

Supporting document reference

5. Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:
(Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms)

- a) Is Legally compliant Yes No
- b) Sound Yes No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail

Positively prepared Effective
Justified Consistent with national policy

6. Please give details of why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

This main modification confirms the importance of the plan providing clarity on where development can occur, and what measures need to be taken on-site, what off-site provision is required and the level of contributions for off-site mitigation. The plan provides certainty on none of these issues. In the case of North Weald Bassett we have already highlighted in Table 1 of this submission the gross inadequacies of the mitigation proposed in the Green Infrastructure Strategy and that the delivery of an appropriate SANG cannot be achieved in terms of its quality and objectives or without further land which is not available to the Council nor the promoters of the present allocation. While we do not object to the sentiment of this paragraph our objection is that it is inconsistent with the plan because the rest of the plan singularly fails to meet the aspiration expressed in this paragraph.

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Section 1 in the accompanying representations report (ref. 09.22.21.E5045.2PS.PeerGroup.MainMods.Final with Appendices) sets out in detail how the Local Plan should be amended to ensure that deliverable SANG provision is achieved.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

8. Have you attached any documents with this representation which specifically relate to an MM or supporting document?

Yes

No

Signature:

....Redacted....

Date

22nd September 2021