

Name:

**Part B – Your representation on the Main Modifications and/or supporting documents**

If you wish to make more than one representation, please complete a separate [Part B form](#) for each representation and clearly print your name at the top of this form.

4. Which **Main Modification number and/or supporting document** does your representation relate to? (Each Main Modification within the Schedule has a reference number. This can be found in the first column i.e. MM1, MM2 and each Supporting Document has a reference number beginning with ED).

Any representation on a supporting document should clearly state (in question 6) which paragraphs of the document it relates to and, as far as possible, your comments should be linked to specific Main Modifications. You should avoid lengthy comments on the supporting documents themselves.

MM no.

Supporting document reference

5. Do you consider this **Main Modification and/or supporting document**:  
(Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms)

- a) Is Legally compliant      Yes       No
- b) Sound      Yes       No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail

Positively prepared       Effective   
Justified       Consistent with national policy

6. Please give details of why you consider the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** is not legally compliant or is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

This modification requires an assessment of the impact of the plan’s proposals both individually and in combination on the SACs as part of the development management process. The correct time to undertake this assessment and consider suitable and deliverable sites including the necessary mitigation is via the site selection process and importantly through the Sustainability Assessment which requires the comparisons of reasonable alternatives. This has not been done and remains a fundamental flaw in the plan making process.

This lack of a thorough assessment and the fact that the required mitigation is not specified in the development plan renders the plan undeliverable. This is particularly the case in North Weald Bassett where we have clear indication that to achieve the SANGs experience requires land outside of the control of the Council and the landowners who are promoting the allocated sites in the plan.

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the **Main Modification and/or supporting document** legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with national policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Section 1 in the accompanying representations report (ref. 09.22.21.E5045.2PS.PeerGroup.MainMods.Final with Appendices) sets out in detail how the Local Plan should be amended to ensure that deliverable SANG provision is achieved.

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

8. Have you attached any documents with this representation which specifically relate to an MM or supporting document?

Yes

No

Signature:

....Redacted....

Date

22<sup>nd</sup> September 2021