

Site Suitability Assessment

Site Reference: SR-0405
 Parish: Epping
 Settlement:
 Size (ha): 1.72
 Address: Coopersale Cricket Club and Coopersale and Theydon Garnon Primary School Playing Fields
 Primary use: Housing
 SLAA notes: None

SLAA yield: 52 dwellings
 SLAA source for baseline yield: Assumption based on 30 dph

SLAA site constraints: None

Site selection adjustment: None

Community feedback: The Council did not consult on a growth location which covers or is near to this site.

Dwellings: 52



Client
Epping Forest District Council
 Job Title
Epping Forest District Local Plan
 Drawing Status
 Issue
 Drawing No: SR-0405 | Issue: P1
ARUP | **Epping Forest District Council**
www.eppingforestcouncil.gov.uk

© Contains OS data © Crown copyright and database right (2016). Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community. Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AEX, Geomatics, Aerogrid, IGN, IGP, swisstopo, and the GIS User Community

Criteria	Score	Qualitative Assessment
1.1 Impact on Internationally Protected Sites	0	Effects of allocating the site for the proposed use do not undermine conservation objectives (alone or in combination with other sites).
1.2 Impact on Nationally Protected sites	(-)	Site falls within an Impact Risk Zone and due to the nature and scale of the development proposed it is likely to be possible to mitigate the effects of the proposed development.
1.3a Impact on Ancient Woodland	(--)	Site is adjacent to or contains Ancient Woodland. The proposals would likely result in direct loss or harm to Ancient Woodland or cannot be mitigated.
1.3b Impact on Ancient/Veteran Trees outside of Ancient Woodland	0	No Ancient or Veteran trees are located within the site.
1.4 Impact on Epping Forest Buffer Land	0	Site is unlikely to impact on Epping Forest Buffer Land.
1.5 Impact on BAP Priority Species or Habitats	0	No effect as features and species could be retained or due to distance of BAP priority habitats from site.
1.6 Impact on Local Wildlife Sites	(-)	Features and species in the site may not be retained in their entirety but effects can be mitigated.
1.7 Flood risk	(++)	Site within Flood Zone 1.
1.8a Impact on heritage assets	(+)	No effect likely on historic assets due to distance from site.
1.8b Impact on archaeology	(-)	Existing evidence and/or a lack of previous disturbance indicates a high likelihood for the discovery of high quality archaeological assets on the site.
1.9 Impact of air quality	0	Site lies outside of areas identified as being at risk of poor air quality.
2.1 Level of harm to Green Belt	0	Site is within Green Belt, but the level of harm caused by release of the land for development would be none.
3.1 Distance to the nearest rail/tube station	0	Site is between 1000m and 4000m from the nearest rail or tube station.
3.2 Distance to nearest bus stop	(+)	Site is within 400m of a bus stop.
3.3 Distance to employment locations	(-)	Site is more than 2400m from an employment site/location.
3.4 Distance to local amenities	(+)	Site is less than 1000m from nearest town, large village or small village.
3.5 Distance to nearest infant/primary school	(+)	Site is less than 1000m from the nearest infant/primary school.
3.6 Distance to local amenities	0	Site is between 1000m and 4000m from the nearest secondary school.
3.7 Distance to nearest GP surgery	0	Site is between 1000m and 4000m from the nearest GP surgery.
3.8 Access to Strategic Road Network		Not applicable.
4.1 Brownfield and Greenfield Land	(-)	Majority of the site is greenfield land adjacent to a settlement.
4.2 Impact on agricultural land	0	Development would not result in the loss of agricultural land.
4.3 Capacity to improve access to open space	0	Development unlikely to involve the loss of public open space.
5.1 Landscape sensitivity	(--)	The site falls within an area of high landscape sensitivity - vulnerable to change and unable to absorb development without significant character change.
5.2 Settlement character sensitivity	(-)	Development could detract from the existing settlement character.
6.1 Topography constraints	(-)	Topographical constraints exist in the site but potential for mitigation.
6.2a Distance to gas and oil pipelines	0	Gas or oil pipelines do not pose any constraint to the site.
6.2b Distance to power lines	0	Power lines do not pose a constraint to the site.
6.3 Impact on Tree Preservation Order (TPO)	0	The intensity of site development would not be constrained by the presence of protected trees either on or adjacent to the site.
6.4 Access to site	(+)	Suitable access to site already exists.
6.5 Contamination constraints	(-)	Potential contamination on site, which could be mitigated.
6.6 Traffic impact	0	Area around the site expected to be uncongested at peak time, or site below the site size threshold where it would be expected to affect congestion.