Site Suitability Assessment Site Reference: SR-0195B Parish: North Weald Bassett

Settlement:

Size (ha):

Land to the North of Vicarage Lane, East, North Weald Bassett, Epping, Essex, CM16 6AP Address:

Housing Grazing land. Primary use: SLAA notes:

102 dwellings SLAA yield:

SLAA source for baseline yield:

Assumption based on 30 dph

SLAA site contraints:

Site selection None adjustment:

Community feedback:

The Council did not consult on a growth location which covers or is near to this site.

<u>Dwellings:</u>



Epping Forest District Council

Epping Forest District Local Plan

Drawing Status

Issue

SR-0195B

Issue P1

Epping Forest
District Council **ARUP**



| Criteria | | Score | Qualitative Assessment |
|---|------|---|--|
| 1.1 Impact on Internationally Protected Sites | 0 | Effects of allocating the site for the proposed use do not undermine conservation objectives (alone or in combination with other sites). | |
| 1.2 Impact on Nationally Protected sites | 0 | Based on the Impact Risk Zones there is no requirement to consult Natural England because the proposed development is unlikely to pose a risk to SSSI's. | |
| 1.3a Impact on Ancient Woodland | 0 | Site is not located within or adjacent to Ancient Woodland. | |
| 1.3b Impact on Ancient/Veteran Trees outside of Ancient Woodland | 0 | No Ancient or Veteran trees are located within the site. | |
| 1.4 Impact on Epping Forest Buffer Land | 0 | Site is unlikely to impact on Epping Forest Buffer Land. | |
| 1.5 Impact on BAP Priority Species or Habitats | 0 | No effect as features and species could be retained or due to distance of BAP priority habitats from site. | |
| 1.6 Impact on Local Wildlife Sites | 0 | Site has no effect as features and species could be retained or due to distance of local wildlife sites from site. | |
| 1.7 Flood risk | (++) | Site within Flood Zone 1. | |
| 1.8a Impact on heritage assets | (+) | No effect likely on historic assets due to distance from site. | |
| 1.8b Impact on archaeology | (-) | Existing evidence and/or a tack of previous disturbance indicates a high likelihood for the discovery of high quality archaeological assets on the site. | |
| 1.9 Impact of air quality | 0 | Site lies outside of areas identified as being at risk of poor air quality. | |
| 2.1 Level of harm to Green Belt | 0 | Site is within Green Belt, but the level of harm caused by release of the land for development would be none. | Almost the entirety of the site is located within a Green Belt parcel which does not meet the purposes. If the site was released it would not harm the purposes of the wider Green Belt. |
| 3.1 Distance to the nearest rail/tube station | (-) | Site is more than 4000m from the nearest rail or tube station. | |
| 3.2 Distance to nearest bus stop | 0 | Site between 400m and 1000m of a bus stop. | |
| 3.3 Distance to employment locations | (+) | Site is within 1600m of an employment site/location. | |
| 3.4 Distance to local amenities | 0 | Site is between 1000m and 4000m from nearest town, large village or small village. | |
| 3.5 Distance to nearest infant/primary school | 0 | Site is between 1000m and 4000m from the nearest infant/primary school. | |
| 3.4 Distance to local amenities | (-) | Site is more than 4000m from the nearest secondary school. | |
| 3.7 Distance to nearest GP surgery | 0 | Site is between 1000m and 4000m from the nearest GP surgery. | |
| 3.8 Access to Strategic Road Network | | Not applicable. | |
| 4.1 Brownfield and Greenfield Land | (-) | Majority of the site is greenfield land adjacent to a settlement. | 95% greenfield site, adjacent to an existing settlement (North Weald Basset). |
| 4.2 Impact on agricultural land | () | Development would involve the loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 1-3). | |
| 4.3 Capacity to improve access to open space | 0 | Development unlikely to involve the loss of public open space. | |
| 5.1 Landscape sensitivity | (-) | The site falls within an area of medium landscape sensitivity - characteristics of the landscape are resilient to change and able to absorb development without significant character change. | The form and extent of any development would have to be sensitive to the location to avoid potential adverse impact on the adjacent landscape character area. |
| 5.2 Settlement character sensitivity | 0 | Development is unlikely to have an effect on settlement character. | Site is located adjacent to existing development, and is unlikely to negatively impact settlement character. |
| 6.1 Topography constraints | 0 | No topography constraints are identified in the site. | |
| 6.2a Distance to gas and oil pipelines | 0 | Gas or oil pipelines do not pose any constraint to the site. | |
| 6.2b Distance to power lines | 0 | Power lines do not pose a constraint to the site. | |
| 6.3 Impact on Tree Preservation Order (TPO) | 0 | The intensity of site development would not be constrained by the presence of protected trees either on or adjacent to the site. | |
| 6.4 Access to site | (+) | Suitable access to site already exists. | Off Vicarage Lane East. |
| 6.5 Contamination constraints | (-) | Potential contamination on site, which could be mittgated. | Potential contamination on very small part of site. Minimal adverse impact with opportunity to enhance. |
| 6.6 Traffic impact | (-) | Low level congestion expected at peak times within the vicinity of the site. | |
| | | | © Arup |