

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

## Part A

### Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details	Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs
First Name	Christine
Last Name	Hassall-Kingham
Job Title (where relevant)	
Organisation (where relevant)	
Address	
Post Code	
Telephone Number	
E-mail Address	

## Part B

### REPRESENTATION

**To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?**

Paragraph: Epping South

Policy: None of the above

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: Epping

**Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: No

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Consistent with national policy

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

**Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.**

Not compliant, no master plan to support Epping South project. It changes the "market town" appearance with proposed multi storey buildings. Already constant traffic on the B1393 (formerly A11) "pinch points" already identified. Traffic and pollution will increase with closure of sports centre & library, people will need to travel, or not get fit as they haven't the resource of time/ money to travel! Retrograde steps with an ever increasing population. Not sustainable as insufficient GPs, bus routes not fit for purpose, cannot see any proposed improvement, how will folk get into the town or station to go to work, it is nearly 2 miles. Parking too far stretched now by users driving into the town to use the tube!

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

Re consider the whole plan, bearing in mind that we are only custodians of the Green Belt, so it must be used wisely!! Do not make our future residents choke and rely more on the Health Service for a cure.

**If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

**If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

**Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination**

Yes

Signature: Christine Hassall-Kingham Date: 28/01/2018

#### DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium,  
and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council

[Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk)