

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

## Part A

### Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	William	
Last Name	Walker	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		''
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

## Part B

### REPRESENTATION

**To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?**

Paragraph: 5.44

Policy: SP 2 Spatial Development Strategy 2011-2033

Policies Map: Yes

Site Reference: WAL.R5

Settlement: Waltham Abbey

**Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

**Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.**

Please see notes below.

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness.**

**You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

My Objections to this plan are as follows:

A) Saxon Way/Tudor Way has a number of residents of advancing years, and as such the need for Ambulances at various times has become a common place situation. Very often these vehicles are unable to gain entry to Saxon Way in order to get to Tudor Way because of the various vehicle parked on both sides of the road at entry. This situation also frequently applies to the refuse/recycling collection lorries, who have on many occasions had to knock on various doors to get some of these vehicles removed in order to get their lorries through. They tell us they report this situation to their superiors every week, but nothing is ever done about it. These vehicles are not owned by the residents in Saxon Way, and your proposed plan only serves to make this worse.

B) You state 67 dwellings are proposed to be built, at an average of 1.5 cars per dwelling that's additional 100 cars along with the number given for the community centre, which currently has space for about 30 vehicles. This number is inadequate at present which again makes the parking worse in Saxon Way, because the overflow in numbers park there, and very often on the double yellow lines at the entry to Saxon Way.

C. Charges have now been placed on The Abbey Gardens Car Park, which quite clearly the general public do not wish to pay, they now see Saxon/Tudor Way as free parking, and that's where they park their cars.

D) GP resources are currently very overstretched in Waltham Abbey, often having to wait some 3 weeks plus for a routine appoint, you make no mention of any added resources to ease this situation, therefore this can only make waiting times longer. There is also a proposed plan for a McCarthy & Stone dwelling situation, again more elderly people being placed on an already over stretched resource.

E) My wife and I have lived in Waltham Abbey all our lives( ) and with all the changes brought about by the various planning authorities in the name of making "Waltham Abbey a better place to live" I shall soon find myself in a situation where I cannot get a GP appointment in a reasonable amount of time, and soon will be unable with all this extra parking required to get to my own front door.

I urge you to reconsider this proposal.

**If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

**If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

**Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination**

Yes

Signature: William Walker Date: 26/01/2018

#### DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email.

We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium, and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council

[Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk)