

**Part B – If necessary please complete a separate Part B form for each representation**

**4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate?**  
(Please specify where appropriate)

Paragraph  Policy  Policies Map

Site Reference  Settlement

**5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan:**  
\*Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms

- a) Is Legally compliant      Yes       No
- b) Sound      Yes       No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail\*

Positively prepared       Effective

Justified       Consistent with national policy

- c) Complies with the duty to co-operate      Yes       No

**6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments**

The reference in paragraph 4.70 of the supporting text to the policy to good design enabling and encouraging healthy lifestyles is welcomed and would be consistent with the local plan's strategic objectives and section 6 of the NPPF. However, this intention is not reflected in policy DM9 itself unlike other design principles.

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

An additional section should be added to the policy which sets out the design principles that new development will be expected to accord with to enable/encourage healthy and active lifestyles. Sport England & Public Health England's Active Design guidance <http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/planning-for-sport/planning-tools-and-guidance/active-design/> sets out established guidance on how the design and layout of new developments can be planned to make communities more active and healthier and some of the principles in this guidance could be incorporated into a new criterion in the policy. The policy or the supporting text should also make reference to the expectation that development will accord with the guidance in the Essex Design Guide. The latest review of the guide (which Epping Forest DC has ownership of through the Essex Planning Officers Association) which is to be published in February 2018 and covers the full range of residential urban design guidance matters has embedded Active Design principles throughout the guidance. The supporting text to the policy should refer to the Essex Design Guide and/or the Active Design guidance to signpost applicants to detailed advice.

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings

Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings

9. If you wish to participate at the hearings, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

10. Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination (Please tick)

Yes       No

11. Have you attached any documents with this representation?

Yes       No

Signature:

Date:

29-01-2018