

Stakeholder Reference:
Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	Jan	
Last Name	Jeffery	
Job Title (where relevant)Redacted.....	
Organisation (where relevant)Redacted.....	
AddressRedacted.....	
Post Code		
Telephone NumberRedacted.....	
E-mail AddressRedacted.....	

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: 5.34

Policy: P 2 Loughton

Policies Map: Yes

Site Reference: LOU.R5

Settlement: Loughton

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

In respect of site LOU.R5 housing development .Plan is unsound , non compliant with NPPF National Guidance Paras 73,74.76 and 77 for following reasons.

At beginning of Local Plan in 2016 a leaflet was supposedly sent out to all houses. This did not happen. InRedacted..... . We were not only road. I telephoned for mine.

From the beginning it was described as OUR Local Plan. A great number of people either by post email or form sent in reasons to remove Jessel Green LOU.R5 from Local Plan. The reasons given very sound. No feedback was given. I have attended every meeting at Council Offices. Please ask to look at these.

We the public as is OUR Local Plan have been ignored at every stage.

Please listen to us as Our Jessel Green is loved by many people. It is a place locals meet. It is so important for our children and future generations to continue to play on. Many children live in flats and need this green to be children on...we don't want them walking streets. There is much wildlife there...I have seen wood peckers and kites. We hold a yearly fete and It's a knockout. All well attended. Is Our village green. Status as village green applied for.

Mental health on increase.Redacted..... a walk on Jessel Green is a better cure than any pill.I know walking the streets will not help.

This green was given after the war for the new tenants to recover. Health problems and need of green spaces was recognised then.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

On page 121 of the submission version para 5.33 should be replaced with ' In its commitment to promote healthy communities, Jessel Green shall be designated as 'A Local Green Space' as having unique importance to the local people as a vital recreation space and connrction point for local community. This is consistant with National Policy in ptomoting healthy communities, specifically it is fully compliant and aligns with the requirements set out in NPPF Para 77, to provide this status to spaces that are particularly valued by the local community.

EFDC by doing this will set a high bar by protecting such important space, both highly valued and well used by the local community, as well as preventing any further shortfall in space for young people to grow and flourish.

Naturally on page 122 LOU.R5 should therefore be removed from the list of sites under section B, Policy P2 Loughton.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: Jan Jeffery Date: 2018-01-29