

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title		Mrs
First Name		Jennifer
Last Name		Scott-Barden
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph:

Policy: None of the above

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement:

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: Don't Know

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail?

Complies with the duty to co-operate? No

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

I have no legal training, however I object on many grounds to the local plan affecting Epping town.

Too many houses behind ivy chimneys road.

-this is our greenbelt land, we should protect it, it was put there to prevent urban sprawl. Epping is a suitable size for the facilities and the services it can provide, it will not tolerate more houses.

- congestion on ivy chimneys road is dangerously bad

- the school children will be disrupted significantly

- too many houses per square foot is not in keeping with Epping

-noise pollution, air pollution, low water pressure.

- there are already two large new developments in Epping (kingswood park and Buckingham Road)

-there has been a significant rise in antisocial behaviour and antisocial used since these new developments.

-more houses will increase this problem too dangerous points.

-it's extremely difficult to get a school place, school catchment areas are disturbingly small.

-it's virtually impossible to get a GP or NHS dentist appointment, unless it is an emergency.

-parking is already very difficult lots of residential streets have problems with people having no where else to park, additional cars will not help the situation.

-Theydon Bois has a shamefully low amount of development despite earlier proposed development. There is no problem with flooding it is a similar flood risk to Lauesen announce and has developments occurring.

-getting rid of our library and thriving children centre for the sake of 11 homes is shocking, this is not a suitable solution. Unless the replacement site is a significant improvement in a suitably convenient location.

-The sports centre should not be re-allocated to North Weald is completely unacceptable and essentially cutting off a large proportion of its users. It is an essential service keeping Epping people fit and healthy, social activities and kids clubs, it should be in Epping town and an in an accessible location.

-parking in Epping is already shockingly difficult, there is no way that the High Street will survive if you remove any more carparks you should be planning to allocate more carparks. The High Street will close and shops and local businesses will suffer.

-it appears that epping has proposed more houses than its development requirements, we could lose the 950 houses at epping South and still meet our full quota of development.

-I understand these houses need to go somewhere, however it seems better to allocate smaller developments around the towns surrounding Epping, who stand to gain something from new development and the resources it could bring rather than place the extra strain on Epping.

I am not legally trained, however I assume that someone with legal training and commonsense will be looking over these plans and will identify any legal problems. This task should not be the responsibility of the public, this should be arranged by the council to have an external body review the plans and ensure all is legal. Before it gets to planning. All future planning should not be put forward unless entirely legal and suitable for the local community.

There are petitions and talks of demonstrations if this plan is not amended. PLEASE STOP THIS EXPANSION. It's not what the Epping community wants, listen to the people you represent and find a better solution.

Mrs Jennifer Scott-barden

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

it appears that epping has proposed more houses than its development requirements, we could lose the 950 houses at epping South and the library etc and still meet our full quota of development.

-I understand these houses need to go somewhere, however it seems better to allocate smaller developments around the towns surrounding Epping, who stand to gain something from new development and the resources it could bring rather than place the extra strain on Epping.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral part of the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

See above

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: Jennifer Scott-Barden Date: 2018-01-29