



**Epping Forest  
District Council**  
www.eppingforestdc.gov.uk

EPPING FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL NEIGHBOURHOODS	
REC'D	29 JAN 2018
ACK	.....
REFERRED TO	.....



## Representation form for Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan 2011-2033 (Regulation 19 publication)

This form should be used to make representations on the Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan which has been published. Please complete and return by 29 January 2018 at 5pm. An electronic version of the form is available at <http://www.efdclocalplan.org/>

Please refer to the guidance notes available before completing this form.

---

Please return any representations to: Planning Policy, Epping Forest District Council, Civic Offices, 323 High Street, Epping, Essex, CM16 4BZ

Or email them to: [LDFconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:LDFconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

**BY 5pm on 29 January 2018**

---

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

*Please attach any documents you wish to submit with your representation*

---

### Part A

1. Are you making this representation as? (Please tick as appropriate)

a) Resident or Member of the General Public  or

b) Statutory Consultee, Local Authority or Town and Parish Council  or










c) Landowner  or

d) Agent

Other organisation (please specify)

**2. Personal Details**

**3. Agent's Details (if applicable)**

Title		<input type="text"/>
First Name		<input type="text"/>
Last Name		<input type="text"/>
Job Title (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Organisation (where relevant)	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address Line 1		<input type="text"/>
Line 2		<input type="text"/>
Line 3		<input type="text"/>
Line 4	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Post Code		<input type="text"/>
Telephone Number		<input type="text"/>
E-mail Address		<input type="text"/>

---

**Part B – If necessary please complete a separate Part B form for each representation**

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate?  
(Please specify where appropriate)

Paragraph  Policy  Policies Map

Site Reference  Settlement

5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan:  
\*Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms

- a) Is Legally compliant Yes  No
- b) Sound Yes  No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail\*

Positively prepared  Effective   
Justified  Consistent with national policy

- c) Complies with the duty to co-operate Yes  No

6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments

Failed duty to the community.

- Have not proactively engaged the community.
- Consultation with the public has been poor, with a vast number of the community unaware of the plans or the impact of the plans on the town/community

The people this plan affects the most have not had their say. Your everyday person also needs to be able to put their views across in a format they can understand.

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Public consultation should include:  
Consultation with neighbouring residents, community groups and individuals who might be directly affected by the plans. i.e. residents of Ivy Chimneys road, bridge hill & brook road. Businesses in the town etc.

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings

Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings

Part B – If necessary please complete a separate Part B form for each representation

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate?  
(Please specify where appropriate)

Paragraph  Policy  Policies Map

Site Reference  Settlement

5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan:  
\*Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms

- a) Is Legally compliant Yes  No
- b) Sound Yes  No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail\*

Positively prepared  Effective   
Justified  Consistent with national policy

- c) Complies with the duty to co-operate Yes  No

6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments

Green belt.  
NPPF states - A local authority must find that exceptional circumstances exist before making any alteration in a green belt boundary.  
Green belt has a considerable environmental value especially in the face of climate change. It has an increasingly important role in storing carbon and preventing flooding. Considering the south of Epping runs alongside the busiest road in the UK (the M25) and is prone to flooding only accentuates the need to keep this strip of green belt land.  
(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)  
The impact of extra pollution & poor air quality on the community needs to be considered.

**Part B – If necessary please complete a separate Part B form for each representation**

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate?  
(Please specify where appropriate)

Paragraph  Policy  Policies Map

Site Reference  Settlement

5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan:  
\*Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms

- a) Is Legally compliant Yes  No
- b) Sound Yes  No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail\*

Positively prepared  Effective   
Justified  Consistent with national policy

- c) Complies with the duty to co-operate Yes  No

6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments

policy paper Biodiversity 2020 sets out the governments ambition to "halt overall loss of Englands biodiversity by 2020, to support healthy well functioning ecosystems with more and better places for nature for the benefit of wildlife & people.

How does building on therefore destroying green belt land support this policy?

(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Submission Version of the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Keep the greenbelt, make better use of already built up areas by densification

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*

8. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the hearings

Yes, I wish to participate at the hearings

**Part B – If necessary please complete a separate Part B form for each representation**

4. To which part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan does this representation relate?  
(Please specify where appropriate)

Paragraph  Policy  Policies Map

Site Reference  Settlement

5. Do you consider this part of the Submission Version of the Local Plan:  
\*Please refer to the Guidance notes for an explanation of terms

a) Is Legally compliant Yes  No

b) Sound Yes  No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail\*

Positively prepared  Effective

Justified  Consistent with national policy

c) Complies with the duty to co-operate Yes  No

6. Please give details of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Local Plan or compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments

Epping is a small market town. The Plans set out to expand the town by 25%, are not at all sensitive to the town as a whole. The town is already starting to feel a squeeze with businesses closing down, the closure of our police station, the ever increasing traffic and congestion and poor bus links. At present the town and Senior School are within walking distance for most Epping residents. Due to extra distance the expansion of the plan to South Epping will result in adding possibly thousands of extra cars moving around Epping. Adding to pollution and more congestion.

*(Continue on a separate sheet if necessary)*



9. If you wish to participate at the hearings, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

10. Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination (Please tick)

Yes

No

11. Have you attached any documents with this representation?

Yes

No

---

Signature:

Date:

26.01.18.