



**Epping Forest
District Council**
www.eppingforestdc.gov.uk



Representation form for Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan 2011-2033 (Regulation 19 publication)

This form should be used to make representations on the Submission Version of the Epping Forest District Local Plan which has been published. Please complete and return by 29 January 2018 at 5pm. An electronic version of the form is available at <http://www.efdclocalplan.org/>

Please refer to the guidance notes available before completing this form.

Please return any representations to: Planning Policy, Epping Forest District Council, Civic Offices, 323 High Street, Epping, Essex, CM16 4BZ

Or email them to: LDFconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

BY 5pm on 29 January 2018

This form has two parts –

Part A – Personal Details

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Please attach any documents you wish to submit with your representation

Part A

1. Are you making this representation as? (Please tick as appropriate)

a) Resident or Member of the General Public or

b) Statutory Consultee, Local Authority or Town and Parish Council or

c) Landowner or

d) Agent

Other organisation (please specify)

[REDACTED]

29 January 2018

For the attention of the Planning Inspector

EFDC District Plan

I have not filled out the forms because they are too difficult to understand. The huge amount of information contained in the plan is too much to take in - way beyond most people. The consultation period is too short being over the Christmas/New Year.

Why has the council not published a simple summary of their proposals that can be easily understood and commented on?

The plan poses more questions than it answers.

The plan is not legal because 3/4 of the homes planned for Epping will be on Green Belt - the Prime Minister said this can only be done in exceptional circumstances and there aren't any.

Not enough consideration has been given to building on brownfield sites e.g. in Waltham Abbey and Nazeing.

I have been pondering about the housing plans and the detrimental effect on our market town.

Why have so many buildings in the High Street been given planning permission for luxury apartment development that is not affordable to local people, and too small for family occupation?

Why is it planned to demolish perfectly serviceable public buildings such as the library (that could be used for alternative community use) - for just 11 luxury dwellings) - a huge waste of tax payers money?

Where will the Registry Office go?

Why is it planned to demolish Epping Sports Centre which has just had a £13 million pound refurbishment to build just a few homes - complete waste of money.

It is not acceptable to demolish perfectly good facilities and relocate them in the Green Belt just for the sake of a few new homes.

EFDC has not built any social housing in Epping for years, and the homes they say are affordable are not affordable for local people.

Where in the plan does it mention social housing?

Building on Epping Station car park is bonkers - Station Approach is already gridlocked every night causing huge problems for the buses.

High rise buildings will destroy the appearance of the town.

Why are there no plans to build on the many brownfield sites in Waltham Abbey which has much better road, rail and transport links?

I believe that local people have not been fully consulted and are unaware of many of the plans hidden in the vast document produced. There are no costings, and no studies relating to air pollution, flooding and the environment.

I think that the Council should try again with this plan and identify more sites in the EFDC area that will not cause overcrowding, traffic congestion, pollution, and destruction of local services in the Epping High Street area.

This is an ancient market town and deserves to be protected, as does the Green Belt.

Yours sincerely,

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the sender.A thin, slightly curved line extending from the redacted signature box towards the right, likely representing a handwritten signature or a scanning artifact.