

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

**Part A**

**Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public**

<b>Personal Details</b>		<b>Agent's Details (if applicable)</b>
Title	Mr	
First Name	ambrose	
Last Name	murphy	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address	,	
	”	
Post Code	”	
Telephone Number	”	
E-mail Address	”	

**Part B**

**REPRESENTATION**

**To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?**

Paragraph:

Policy: None of the above

Policies Map:

Site Reference: EPP.R11

Settlement: Epping

**Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:**

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

**Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.**

The proposal to develop Epping Library does not meet the requirement for soundness because it has not been justified because there is no discussion of reasonable alternatives or of proportionate evidence in the Plan, both for the replacement of the Library by housing, and for any replacement Library service for the town of Epping.

**Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/ Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

EPP.R11 should be dropped if it cannot be justified adequately.

**If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?**

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

**If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

**Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination**

No

Signature: Ambrose Murphy Date: 29/01/2018