

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First Name	Alan	
Last Name	Bate	
Job Title (where relevant)	Retired	
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address	...Redacted...	
Post Code	...Redacted...	
Telephone Number	...Redacted...	
E-mail Address	...Redacted...	

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: 5.44

Policy: SP 2 Spatial Development Strategy 2011-2033

Policies Map: Yes

Site Reference: WAL.R5

Settlement: Waltham Abbey

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Justified

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally

compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

I consider this plan to be unsound for reasons given in the next paragraph.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We currently have a Community Centre in Saxon Way with a car park for approximately 30 vehicles, your proposal is for 67 homes plus a community centre. The parking from the existing Community Centre frequently spills over into Saxon Way and very frequently onto the area at the junction of the Crooked Mile which has yellow lines. People disregard these on a daily basis. Your plan will only increase this.

Charges have now been put into place on the Abbey Gardens car park this has generated further parking in Saxon Way and Tudor Way, because people have no desire to pay for parking in the gardens, and therefore use the closest 'free' area.

The Council gave planning permission some 2 years ago for the owner of **....Redacted....** to turn his garage into a one person dwelling, this one person dwelling is occupied by two persons each of whom have cars, they have no parking facilities on their land and therefore permanently park in Saxon Way.

We currently have 3 commercial vehicles parked here on a permanent basis, because their owners tell us there is insufficient parking where they live on Parklands, and the Council's intention is to add more parking to Saxon/Tudor Way which has now become saturated, and being used as a temporary car park for all and sundry.

Your vision for Waltham Abbey states it will support a diverse population including the elderly. There are many residents in Saxon/Tudor Way who are in advancing years, need medical services, which they cannot obtain easily due to the number of patients already on the GP. books, your proposal is to add more.

Very often when Ambulances are needed and called they have difficulty gaining entry into Saxon Way and of course thereon into Tudor Way because of parked vehicles. Last Tuesday 16th January our recycling bins could not be emptied initially, until one of the crew went up and down the various houses to ask people who all the parked cars belonged to so they could get some of them moved in order to obtain entry into the street. We have attached a photograph. The crew reported to us they have to do this on many occasions and have reported this to their management. They had to wait until somebody turned up to move one of the vehicles, which of course was one being parked because the owner did not wish to pay the fee in The Abbey Gardens.

If as you state you are considering the elderly in Waltham Abbey it would not appear to be so from this particular planned change, this demonstrates to me that you have no regard for elderly people at all.

Perhaps you might like to revisit this proposed but flawed plan in Saxon Way.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District Local Plan is submitted for independent examination

Yes

Signature: Alan Bate Date: 21/01/2018

DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium, and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council
Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk

