

Copy and paste everything below this point into Word for the redaction process

Stakeholder Reference:

Document Reference:

Part A

Making representation as Resident or Member of the General Public

Personal Details		Agent's Details (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	Lesley	
Last Name	Perry	
Job Title (where relevant)	N/A	
Organisation (where relevant)	N/A	
Address		, ,
Post Code		
Telephone Number		
E-mail Address		

Part B

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: 5.24

Policy: P 2 Loughton

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: Loughton

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

5.24 This paragraph is misleading. The transport options in Loughton are not good. Firstly, there is only one bus route (No. 20) that serves the whole of the district. Quoting "over 20 different bus routes" is including those that aren't relevant to local residents. Other routes require you to take two buses to get from one part of Loughton e.g. Loughton station to The Broadway to the other. A bus route (No. 167) was recently curtailed and this has put increasing pressure on the No. 20 bus. An example the other day was that a No. 20 that I was on didn't stop at The Cottage Loaf PH even though I had rung the bell. When eventually I was able to get off the bus at the next stop I asked the driver why he had ignored the bell. He said that the bus was dangerously full and he couldn't risk a crush of more school getting on. This is potentially an accident about to happen or children being left in the dark as they can't board a bus that doesn't stop for them or is overcrowded.

The Central Line train often only go as far as Loughton, thus requiring a change for those continuing to Debden. Tube trains from Debden at the peak in the morning are standing room only and can reach dangerous overcrowding.

Additional housing will put more pressure on a woefully lacking transport infrastructure.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness.

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Removal of the main bulk of additional planned housing for the Loughton area.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: 5.25

Policy: P 2 Loughton
Policies Map:
Site Reference: None of the above
Settlement: Loughton

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

5.25 The Further Education College referred to failed its most recent Ofsted and it is no fit state to become an 'education hub'. There are social issues connected with the College and local residents have already complained in the media and to Essex Police about students

. The College is not able to cope with any additional students. The Guardian last week reported on local retailers in The Broadway saying that their customers were being frightened away by College students. The College needs to reduce the number of students in order to maintain order not increase.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness.

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Remove planned additional residential planning areas.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: 5.26

Policy: P 2 Loughton

Policies Map:

Site Reference: None of the above

Settlement: Loughton

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know

Sound: No

If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified

Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

The "significant retail offer" is about to diminish - retailers in The Broadway are going to be closing as they have lost so much custom due to the recent roadworks and the opening of the Epping Forest Retail Park. See headline "We are really struggling here."

<http://www.eppingforestguardian.co.uk/news/15890700>. [We are really struggling down here](#) [Independent traders attack rent free holidays for chain stores/](#)

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness.

You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Delete additional residential planning from the Local Plan.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

REPRESENTATION

To which part of the Pre Submission Epping Forest District Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph: Policy P2 Loughton
Policy: P 2 Loughton
Policies Map: No
Site Reference: None of the above
Settlement: Loughton

Do you consider this part of the Pre Submission Local Plan to be:

Legally compliant: Don't Know
Sound: No
If no, then which of the soundness test(s) does it fail? Positively prepared, Effective, Justified, Consistent with national policy
Complies with the duty to co-operate? Don't Know

Please give details either of why you consider the Submission Version of the Local Plan is not legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate; or of why the Submission Version of the Local Plan is legally compliant, is sound or complies with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. Please use this box to set out your comments.

Policy P 2 Loughton Residential Sites page 122 - B (i), (ii), (iv), (v), (vii), (ix) - identification of these sites for residential building represents over development, no accompanying infrastructure, additional traffic, and pressure on an already failing transport system. There is no nearby hospital to cope with the additional population.

Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Pre Submission Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified in the question above (Positively prepared/Justified/Effective/ Consistent with National Policy) where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

REmove Residential Sites page 122 - B (i), (ii), (iv), (v), (vii), (ix) from the plan and build a garden village between Epping and Harlow.

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

**Please let us know if you wish to be notified when the Epping Forest District
Local Plan is submitted for independent examination**

Yes

Signature: Lesley Perry Date: 24/01/2018

DISCLAIMER

This email is for the use of the intended recipients only. Any opinion or advice it contains is that of the sender and does not bind the authority in any way. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete the message. If you are not the intended recipient you must not use, disclose, copy or distribute this email.

We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise that you carry out your own virus checks on an attachment to this message. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.

Internet email is not a secure communication medium,
and we advise that you observe this lack of security when emailing us.

Epping Forest District Council

Postmaster@Eppingforestdc.gov.uk