

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	3469	Name	(Name not provided)	Dicker
----------------	------	------	---------------------	--------

---

Method	Letter
--------	--------

---

Date	7/12/2016
------	-----------

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Letter or Email Response:

As you are aware the above includes a proposal to develop Epping sports ground in lower Bury lane and land to the west of Bury Lane all currently classified as Green Belt. (ref SR-0132Ci) Following the recent exhibition for the plan at the Council offices and discussions with neighbours we understand that you voted in favour of the plan at the planning meeting on the 18th of October. Assuming that this was the case we would like to know your reasoning for not opposing development on the sports ground since this clearly breaches the draft plan in sections 2.SI/3.24/3.26 which call for improved green spaces ,leisure,play and sporting facilities.In addition the Council specifically stated that the building on Green Belt for part of St.John's school was an exceptional circumstance and now the proposal is to build on prime farmland to the west of Bury lane which is visually sensitive for the town and clearly fulfils the criteria detailed in the NPPF for national Green Belt purposes. It is also quite clear that proposal to add 1640 additional dwellings within Epping is disproportionate to the size of the town compared to the planned development elsewhere in the district.The proposal if adopted would increase the number of dwellings in Epping town by 30% and residents by 35%. We look forward to receiving your reply and trust that your response to the plan going forward will take into account the points that we and other residents are raising. The scale of the proposed development would be insufficient to deliver infrastructure.

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

---

Stakeholder ID	3469
----------------	------

Name	(Name not provided) Dicker
------	----------------------------