

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2418 Name Philip Clark

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The plan does not protect the green belt and does not provide sufficient detail as to how the infrastructure will be improved. It is simply too vague.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Utilising the green belt around Epping will change the town forever. The whole appeal of the town is the fact that it is surrounded by green belt land, which contains it to a manageable size. With parking issues & poor infrastructure, significant home building will have a massive impact and no one can explain how this could be successfully achieved. I fully agree with developing brown field Sites within the town that are currently not utilised, but don't increase the town's footprint, as it can barely cope with commuters flocking to the station each day.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow can expand far more easily than Epping.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2418

Name Philip

Clark

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

There is a lack of detail regarding this shopping area and it doesn't appear to add to the current extent of the high street

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Have not reviewed this information

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The largest sites are green belt which if developed will rip the heart and soul out this town. It also sets a dangerous precedent to develop these sites. The focus should be to develop within the current boundary and

utilise all the current usable space. Developing the car park sites is foolish for this town, which is plagued by parking issues already.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Insufficient information on how this is to be achieved

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?