

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 37 Name Paul Morris

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The district council does not have the power to ensure that the proposals are carried out in the manner they are outlined.

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Many of the locations are in areas of medium and high density housing already and each have specific problems that have not been addressed up until now. Adding more housing will exasperate the problems

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is better served with transport links to other parts of Essex

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 37

Name Paul

Morris

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Changing the name and category of a shopping location does not mean it will be any different. The district council has now power over the changes detailed except for planning approval. It can't make businesses open neither can it sustain them

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

District council has no power to deliver the assurances

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Loughton already has areas of high density housing. The green spaces are valued assets of the town and part of the natural character of the area. To build on a majority of green spaces will change the whole aspect and nature of the town. The utility services are already at a high capacity demand. Areas such as the Debden estate are not properly mapped for services such as drainage and as such the extra capacity for demand can only be assumed not guaranteed. Many of the roads are not suitable for more traffic and neither can they cope

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

with increased parking. The transport links to Loughton are already poor to the rest of the district and as has been proved recently the council can not ensure the provisions of transport.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**District council can not guarantee the delivery of these services so to plan on the basis of them being delivered will be negligent.**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

**This can not be guaranteed and neither is it fully comprehensive.**

---

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?