

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 54 Name Brett Short

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Building new homes on the few remaining green spaces in an already high density urban area is not compatible with "an enhanced quality of life for the people of Epping Forest District". The local infrastructure and public services are also at breaking point and an increased population in my area will only exacerbate the problem.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Building new homes on the few remaining green spaces in an already high density urban area is not compatible with "an enhanced quality of life for the people of Epping Forest District". The local infrastructure and public services are also at breaking point and an increased population in my area will only exacerbate the problem.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 54 Name Brett Short

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Debden Broadway needs regeneration

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Not a concern for me....JUST NO MORE HOUSING ON OUR GREEN SPACES!

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Building new homes on the few remaining green spaces in an already high density urban area is not compatible with "an enhanced quality of life for the people of Epping Forest District". The local infrastructure and public services are also at breaking point and an increased population in my area will only exacerbate the problem.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Building new homes on the few remaining green spaces in an already high density urban area is not compatible with "an enhanced quality of life for the people of Epping Forest District". The local infrastructure and public services are also at breaking point and an increased population in my area will only exacerbate the problem.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Building new homes on the few remaining green spaces in an already high density urban area is not compatible with "an enhanced quality of life for the people of Epping Forest District". The local infrastructure and public services are also at breaking point and an increased population in my area will only exacerbate the problem.

The airfield should also receive a protected status as it hosts many community events and has cultural and historical importance. The airfield is a real asset for the whole of the Epping Forest community.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Building new homes on the few remaining green spaces in an already high density urban area is not compatible with "an enhanced quality of life for the people of Epping Forest District". The local infrastructure and public services are also at breaking point and an increased population in my area will only exacerbate the problem.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The plans do not go far enough if you also plan to increase the population of the Epping Forest area.

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

An ever increasing population and decreasing urban land stocks are not sustainable. The infrastructure and public services are already in a poor state....Again, not sustainable!

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?