

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1424 Name Joanna O'Connell

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The DLP has considered a lot of sites where housing developments would be detrimental to the community, such as Limes Farm. There is already a high density of housing, and previous social problems on the estate have been well documented.

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Large housing developments will cause undue pressure on existing council services and schools. In the case of Limes Farm, this would also cause further social problems and downgrade the existing aesthetics of the area. The removal of open spaces within an estate like Limes Farm are known to cause a decline in general of housing estates.

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I don't have any knowledge of Harlow and the proposed plan.

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1424 Name Joanna O'Connell

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Towns need to be protected to allow retailers, independent and high street names, to thrive and encourage community wellbeing.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Epping Forest is an affluent area where a large number of the population commute into London. Having said that, job creation within the borough is vital for economic growth.

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

As stated previously, the number of homes proposed on the Limes Farm existing open spaces, will have a detrimental effect on the existing community there. Social problems have been well documented and an erosion of the open spaces will only make this worse. Too much pressure will be placed upon the existing services and schools - both primary and secondary. Our open spaces are a vital part of the community of Limes

Farm and add to the wellbeing of the community. We don't want to lose our open spaces and become a concrete jungle fraught with problems. Smaller developments elsewhere within Chigwell are the answer.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

If the council implement the smaller development sites within Chigwell, there will be no undue pressure on the current infrastructure, as the area will grow organically. A large site like the Limes Farm proposal will do the opposite.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)