

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1448 Name Lina Maknevičiute

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Epping will become a crowded area with way too much traffic, noise, pollution, schools which are already oversubscribed will struggle even more, there will almost no green areas left inside the town

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

We should especially preserve green areas within existing settlements as there are very few of them therefore the council should not be considering anything other than desolate sites where no greenery is currently present.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

It would generally make more sense to develop more houses in the north of England as London, Harlow and the South are terribly overcrowded and there are constant traffic jams and growing pollution. Given this, no green areas within towns should be considered for development. Most proposed areas are on green land and include the only wild park area we have near our house with many rabbits and other fauna living in it. I must mention it is the only green area accesible to Epping town residents within walking distance of the high street.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1448

Name Lina

Maknevičiute

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

We do not need any additional shopping areas in Epping, Buckhurst hill and Loughton. These towns are lovely, green and peaceful although already suffering from terrible traffic. People living in this town have access to Central line and can get to Startford westfield within 15-25 minutes.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Current sites meet the demand at least in my area (Epping) and new ones will only be required if a huge amount of new homes is built which I don't believe should be done.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

They cover many green areas with wildlife which will ruin the appeal of the town. I have already explained, we cannot cope with additional traffic and lose more green spaces. The town will become crowded, transport will not meet the demand, pollution will increase, parking which is already an issue will become terrible.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Some spaces are very badly chosen, such as the green space behind Centre drive where many wild animals such as rabbits and foxes live and lovely old trees are growing.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

They cover many green areas with wildlife which will ruin the appeal of the town.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

They cover many green areas with wildlife which will ruin the appeal of the town.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

They cover many green areas with wildlife which will ruin the appeal of the town.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I disagree with the growth plans due to reasons explained earlier.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?