

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1466      Name Carolyn      Barry

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1466      Name Carolyn      Barry

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No opinion**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No opinion**

Loughton Broadway?

**No opinion**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No opinion**

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

I feel that I can only comment on the development proposals to the area in which I live so I have not responded to your previous questions. There is a lack of detail in the plan, other than the proposed number of dwellings on each site. I am particularly concerned about the planned 31 houses at 1 Powell Rd in a designated Green Belt area - there is a danger of this action setting a precedent for further inroads into Green Belt. The Linders Field Nature Reserve is adjacent to the proposed site which would become ecologically vulnerable following such intense development. The density of the housing on the site is also out of character with existing housing in the area. Development of the the larger railway car park in Queens Rd with 44 new flats and retention of the car park is lacking detail. Will it be an underground car park? The construction would cause huge disruption to local residents and businesses in Queens Rd and environs not only from construction traffic causing potential damage but also the fact that shoppers and employees of local businesses use the current car park. The proposal to demolish existing flats and retail units in Lower Queens Rd and replace with more flats/retail units is surely economically unviable, the current buildings being in an adequate condition to last several decades more. Overall I am concerned about the extra strain these proposed developments would place on local health services and schools in particular. The two local primary schools are already over subscribed and I don't believe there is any potential for further expansion on their sites. In view of the above I request EFDC to remove the above development sites from the Local Plan and further urge them to protect our Green Belt.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?