



# Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID Method		1469 Survey	Name	louise	green	
Da	te					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting ar	cil's database of responses to the Draft L I images may not appear accurately. Shou I Policy team: Idfconsult@eppingforestdc.	ld you wish to review
Su	rvey Respoi	nse:				
1.	Do you agree	e with the ov	erall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest District?	
	•	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
	•	k these thin		do not think an	nflux of people into the area would l	penefit the
2.	Do you agree	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan so	s out for Epping Forest District?	
	Please expla	in your choi	ce in Question 2:			
	I do not thir	nk green bel	t land should be	released under	any circumstance.	
3.	Do you agree	e with the pr	oposals for deve	lopment around	arlow?	
	Agree	·	•	•		
	•	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1469 Name louise green





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes						
	Buckhurst Hill? Yes Loughton Broadway? Yes Chipping Ongar?						
	Yes						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Yes						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	Yes						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	shopping areas are good for the economy and community						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Agree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	this is fine as long as they are in appropriate places						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1469





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

## No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

#### No

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

the proposal will be damaging to the environment and imposing on the local community. theydon bois does not have the infastructure to cope with a development on this scale and will change it from a rural village to a small town.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

#### No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1469 Name Iouise green





Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

# No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

- 8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1469 Name Iouise green