

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1477 Name Victoria Fuller

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The vision is absolutely right but the challenge is ensuring the plan supports that vision. Currently it looks to provide new homes and jobs but not the infrastructure to support them.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Adding more housing to existing main settlements requires significant increase in the infrastructure which hasn't been included. The current plans would make existing problems such as parking even worse.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1477

Name Victoria

Fuller

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

These all seem sensible.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The plans to build on Luctons Field and the two underground station car parks are going to put an unbearable strain on the local infrastructure and as yet there is no indication of how this would be met. Parking within the Debden estate is already at a crisis point and having more high density housing will exacerbate the issue considerably. A plan to build housing on Luctons field could be made feasible with a corresponding infrastructure expansion plan including elements such as making that and the Debden estate resident only parking and increasing the station parking considerably, ensuring enough school and healthcare places are available before any housing is completed and supporting TFL to increase transport provision from Debden and Loughton at peak times.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

The plans proposed are woefully inadequate. There is nothing solid at all within these plans to say how you will improve the infrastructure. Saying that new developments "will be designed for to promote healthy living thus helping to reduce the impact" is frankly absurd - having some walking routes doesn't negate the need for healthcare provision. Stating that you would 'ask some developers for a contribution towards infrastructure' is a plan guaranteed to fail - it needs to be established very firmly up front where the funding and location of the required additional service centres would be. There is not even a section in the Chapter about transport or parking even though parking is acknowledged to be an issue in the area. Any plan that doesn't even consider these needs to be reconsidered and I would be strongly opposed to any further progression until they are included.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

It needs to include transport and parking.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?