

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1583 Name Derek Edwards

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

- Additional development would cause a strain on utilities, which are already at breaking point
- Flooding
- Keeping heritage assets
- Imperative to keep the identity of Chigwell as opposed to just another suburb
- Wrong and unsuitable sites have been identified for additional housing in Chigwell
- Any development in keeping and character of the area
- Developments completed in Chigwell since 2011 should be offset against the current allocation

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1583

Name Derek

Edwards

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

- Additional development would cause a strain on utilities, which are already at breaking point
- Flooding
- Keeping heritage assets
- Imperative to keep the identity of Chigwell as opposed to just another suburb
- Wrong and unsuitable sites have been identified for additional housing in Chigwell
- Any development in keeping and character of the area
- Developments completed in Chigwell since 2011 should be offset against the current allocation

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Public Transport: •Central line trains from Chigwell has been greatly reduced at peak times which has caused excessive crowding on the west bound connecting trains - intolerable for daily commuters. •167 bus has also been reduced causing problems for the community •Tube and bus running to full capacity, hence more commutes would create serious over crowding

Parking: •No station parking facilities resulting in parking congestion in roads (particularly in Station Road) and in Chigwell generally •In accessibility for emergency services

Traffic: •Volume of traffic around Chigwell Station at breaking point •Traffic and gridlock not acceptable and dangerous •More homes mean more vehicles on the road exasperating the problem

Health: •There are no GP surgeries in Chigwell •Limited dentists •No hospitals
Emergency services: •No police station and no fire station

Education: •Schools are running to capacity

Recreation: •Top priority is to retain all the green open spaces in Chigwell, access essential for the well-being of the community

Green belt: •Protection of green belt and green spaces (government statement "top priority is to protect and enhance green spaces") •Safeguard the countryside from encroachment •Important to preserve the setting and character of the historic Chigwell village •Existing Tree Preservation Orders must be safeguarded as TPOs
