

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1642 Name Robert Jones

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I do not believe that all of the sites chosen will support the above, in particular developments on Jessel Green, Borders lane playing fields, Debden station and Trapps Hill car park

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I do not believe the 'plans' are far sighted in that there seems to be a focus on just cramming in houses with do consideration to supporting or developing supporting services, and the detrimental effects on the environment, current services and quality of life for existing residents

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Unfamiliar with the area and the potential impacts

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1642

Name Robert

Jones

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I do not agree with the development of sites - SR-0226, SR-0227, SR-0356, SR-0361 and SR-0565, as I strongly feel that this action will have a significantly detrimental effect on the long term future, appeal and stability of Loughton.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no actual plan laid out in the draft, so it gives no confidence that once the initial rush of development the residential areas happens, and improvement of the supporting services will take place. It states through out that services will be monitored during development, into the future and action taken, but there is nothing convincing. Surely a theoretical plan could be put forward, starting from the assumption that all 1190+ homes are built - this equates to potential population growth of X of that time, meaning that services Y will be increased by Z amount. Then if 80% of the homes are built the figure reduced or 50% etc and so on.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Environmental impacts are a main concern. particularly the effect on drainage and groundwater, as the area is already subject to flooding. What effect would the developments North, and higher up than the roding floodplains have?

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?