

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1647 Name David Pracy

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I appreciate the hard work that has gone into this but simply don't have time to read the whole of such a long document and can't give an overall opinion, although i have responded to specific issues that affect me. A summary of key points was essential.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I accept the need for affordable housing and the development of your greenhouse policy .

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I like the approach of cooperation with Harlow and other neighbouring councils to develop a broader-based plan

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1647

Name David

Pracy

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

As an outsider who visits the town centre occasionally, I'm saddened by its run-down state. I can't see that the proposals will make much difference to that.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

I have no objection to the development of the Pecks Hill wood yard. I oppose development of Green Belt land in St Leonards Road. I can't see from the map exactly where SR300 a and b are, but if they encroach on the Green Belt I would oppose them. You have said that the future for the greenhouse industry is for large scale

glasshouses, and I'd like to see the smaller uneconomic ones and other derelict industrial sites encouraged to sell up and make their brownfield sites available for housing..

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I agree with what you're trying to do but am sceptical about your ability to do it. Major services like transport and education are county matters and all EFDC can do is fight other district councils for a share of the cake. For those services that are EFDC's responsibility, government cuts mean that you don't always have the funds to do what you would like.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?