

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1654 Name Kelly Surtees

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Enhanced quality of life is important I agree as are employment opportunities and homes. I am extremely concerned that the impact of the plan will however be negative on the vision.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

I am unsure reading this how much of this is set in stone and how much is a proposal that may never happen....

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

It is essential that homes are balanced with facilities to match. New schools, parking and amenities are necessary for a balanced and thriving community. Building on car parks (unless the replacement parking is sufficient to meet the needs of ALL users is not sensible - unless the council removes the yellow line restrictions so that more parking is made available for residents, visitors and commuters alike.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1654

Name Kelly

Surtees

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Employment sites are necessary of course, but traffic in the areas (especially around Langston Road) is a nightmare already. People will be put off if the infrastructure is not highly efficient and then businesses will not wish to come to the site.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Have you travelled on the tube lately? I have and the Central Line is literally bursting at the seams. There is no more space for additional running stock as far as LU are saying and people are crammed onto trains between the hours of 7am and 7pm every week day. The service is at capacity and adding more commuters without the provision of another London bound service is not at all sensible. Will there be additional trains? A

new commuter Line? New roads? All this will cost a fortune and I am concerned where the funding will come from and suspect that there will be none and that ultimately quality of life will be worse not better as a result.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Not all the sites. It appears that the library will be shut down - that is surely not a good thing. Then too, we will lose the car park at Debden and Loughton stations and Loughton library. I am concerned that the loss of parking will have a severe negative impact on the businesses in the area. Reading the plan it suggests Loughton is a thriving high street. It is in fact full of charity shops and banks along with many eateries and a

huge number of barbers. There is little else - Epping is far more alive and has a much bigger range of shops and provisions.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

I have no real comment that is not covered above.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

As above my concerns are for infrastructure and logistics...

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

It will not be enough to monitor and provide as identified that will be too little too late. We have lost many schools in the area in the past and forward thinking must be put in place - as well as huge funding. Infrastructure first or in parallel.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?