

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1662 Name Linda Cadwallader

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

It is ridiculous to think you can build on all the amenities in the area - the tennis club, cricket club, station car parks. Where will people park for the stations and shops? There are too many people in the area already - the roads are gridlocked, the tube is packed to bursting point, you cannot get doctors appointments or dentist appointments. The schools do not have space. It is such a short sighted decision. People need open space for enjoyment - you cannot build substantial housing without improving the infrastructure.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

As above.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1662

Name Linda

Cadwallader

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

No

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The whole area is too crowded. Too many people on the roads and tubes - THERE IS NO ROOM - what part of that does the Council not understand. Perhaps your representatives should travel on the Central Line at 7.45 each day and drive to the station and then decide where they would park if the station car parks are gone. I have had two cars vandalised when parked on streets with no parking restrictions because they do not want commuter cars parked outside their houses. We do not all live near the station and the buses to the station are just stuck in the ever increasing traffic. It is sometimes impossible to get in or out of Loughton - we are

surrounded by the M11, M25 and roads into London - the last thing needed is even more people living in the area.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

As above

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

As above

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

As above

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

As above

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no room for more people in the area.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I also do not understand what my sexual orientation, religion, age or anything else has to do with this draft planning plan. Why does everyone suddenly seem so interested in Transgender?? What has that got to do with anything contained in this proposal.
