

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1687      Name Jacqueline      slack

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

**Agree in principal for new homes and jobs for the local community but in doing so EFDC are taking over natural green spaces at Limes Farm, Chigwell and Jessell Green at Debden and the affordable housing proposed will not be affordable for young people such as first time buyers.**

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

**Disagree as the large number of proposed dwellings (1190 in Loughton and 210 at Limes Farm) are in overpopulated areas which lack the infrastructure to support these large numbers of houses.**

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1687

Name Jacqueline

slack

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No opinion**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No**

Loughton Broadway?

**No**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No**

Waltham Abbey?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

The protection of retail usage is jeopardised by the proposed development of the car parks for the stations and shopping centres of Loughton, Debden and Buckhurst Hill, and includes the loss of parking for Loughton Leisure Centre, which will have a detrimental effect on the health of local people and their ability to get to work by underground to the City of London, therefore failing in 2 of EFDC objectives.

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

**The loss of the green space at Jessell Green and the impact this will have the local community**

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

**The loss of vital car parking for the station and the local shopping centre and the effect this will have on the locality**

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

**The loss of the green space at Limes Farm and the impact this will have on the local residents**

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Concern at how EFDC can provide the infrastructure when proposing over-population of certain areas that are already overpopulated with the effect on schools, GP's, roads, traffic congestion, waste water and water supply, wildlife and environment and the quality of life for residents already living in Epping Forest.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?