

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1690 Name Nicky Fuller

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

A committee many miles away, whom haven't seen the area are taking away 68% of our green spaces, this will be detrimental not only to the health of our area but also the lack of infrastructure will deepen the social decline.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Regarding Loughton- taking away 68% of our green spaces will be detrimental not only to the health of our area but also the lack of infrastructure will deepen the social decline.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Nimbyism isn't necessarily a great trait but as I rarely visit Harlow I would agree changes there, I live in Loughton.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1690 Name Nicky Fuller

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

My answers are based on geography; I know the Debden B'way has suffered and I worry regarding the large retail park planned on Langston Road, but they need support to enlarge their appeal.

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

A committee many miles away, whom haven't seen the area are taking away 68% of our green spaces, this will be detrimental not only to the health of our area but also the lack of infrastructure will deepen the social decline.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Having seen historic promises of enlarging infrastructures and knowing how long ; for example, it takes to get a Dr's appointment. I do not believe - due to the numbers of houses being introduced; there will be enough infrastructure introduced not to be detrimental to the way and standard of life in my town.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I find it very difficult to accept that although Ringway Jacobs was made aware of years of planning for a local cycle path (between Loughton & Chigwell or Chigwell & Buckhurst Hill), that they are building more lanes at Debden through to Homebase at Loughton, without a cycle lane- therefore, your idea of sustainability and mine are way apart. In this modern era, I simply can't understand why you wouldn't continue the cycle lane form Buckingham Court down to at least the hub which is Debden Tube Station.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I find it very difficult to accept that you wish to build over 68% of Loughton's open spaces; it frightens me that social decline over the next generation will be tough.
