

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1983 Name Raymond solomons

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Ongar is an historic small village. The proposed planning on all sides of the village will turn this into a faceless town. Proposing a large increase in people in an area where there is no train station, infrequent inadequate bus service, oversubscribed doctors surgery, and schools, poor broadband service, no police station and no fire station, combined with low water pressure, some of the areas on a flood plain will reduce the quality of life for the community. Whilst the Government will not be concerned with residents losing their view of some of the most beautiful scenery in the country, the reduction in green belt land does reduce the oxygen in the air.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

There is inadequate employment in Ongar. An influx of people when there is no employment in the immediate area means people will have to commute. There is no parking in the village, and the nearest station is Epping, How will these people commute. The influx of 600 homes means conservatively 1200 cars. Retaining green belt land would be advantageous as we work towards Brexit, as we could continue to produce farm products which makes us more self sufficient and less reliant on Europe for food. Which would help to keep prices realistic

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 1983 Name Raymond solomons

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Harlow is keen to expand and has available land. According to Councillors we have consulted

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

Yes

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I agree with the proposal as long as it does not affect farmland

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

for aOngar is an historic small village. The proposed planning on all sides of the village will turn this into a faceless town. Proposing a large increase in people in an area where there is no train station, infrequent inadequate bus service, oversubscribed doctors surgery, and schools, poor broadband service, no police station and no fire station, combined with low water pressure, some of the areas on a flood plain will reduce the quality of life for the community. Whilst the Government will not be concerned with residents losing their view of some of the most beautiful scenery in the country, the reduction in green belt land does reduce the

oxygen in the air. If the reasons stated in question 1. Furthermore the utilities such as water electricity and gas would be unable to cope with the increased demand.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Understand that the infrastructure is being talked about, but local council and local government do not have the funds to assist in the alteration of this infrastructure. Where is the money going to come from, and when would the alterations start. Local developers may be held accountable for some of the funds, but not all. These funds would not be released until after building is completed. It needs to be in place before building, otherwise in all probability it will not happen. Such large growth within the small areas proposed will most certainly impact adversely on the quality of life of local residents, who want to live in a village not a large town

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Has consideration been given for the massive disruption that will be caused by the building to take place in such small confined areas over a period of many years. Were the areas that have been chosen only considered because these areas have been put forward for sale by their owners, or are there areas either owned by councils or public land that could be considered which are not so close to the four wantz roundabout and village. The persons who put forward these plans should visit the roads around the four wantz roundabout and through the town now, before any increase in population

-
9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?