

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1989	Name	julie nassau
----------------	------	------	--------------

Method	Survey
--------	--------

Date	
------	--

This document has been created using information from the Council’s database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

The area around Roydon is green belt , these plans will overwhelm the village , which you fraudulently lie and claim as being preserved !! there is no way that any of this land should be used for housing , there is plenty of brown space in the borough , there is no reason other than spite to site 2 thousand houses on the border of the village , an area that east herts aso plans to site 10k houses on the opposite side of the A414 . Epping Forrest area covers many square miles , these houses should be allocated to other more suitable urban sites that have the infrastructure in place to accommodate this drastic takeover of the village, the current size of Roydon is about one thousand homes, this will mean that Summers and Katherine are double the size of the village and will overwhelm any infrastructure there..The arae already struggles to provide adequate water pressure and sewage collection. The B181 is already overcrowded , and is a narrow country road abused by HGVs already. 2 houses means potentially another 4k cars on these roads. Nor can the road through broadly green to waltham abbey take any more cars it is already n accident blackspot. and dangerously floods in winter storms. The latest CQC report for the Princess Alexander Hospital in Harlow put it into special measures, it was built for a population of 300K , the vast increase in population proposed by Epping Forrest and also east herts is unsustainable , there are no concrete plans for the NHS to upgrade. rebuild this hospital and in fact this year the local NHS has to find 220 million in savings form this area. There are no GPs or dentists locally and those that are are already full. he proposed development will add addition la cars into Roydon and will ensure a complete traffic nightmare at the level crossing , a line which causes severe delays already at the level crossing and which there are plans to increase the number of trains on it , which will only add to delays , due tot eh congestion in Harlow cars will drive to this station , not Harlow. Te siting of these new developments are not sustained through any local transport , the local bus services are being withdrawn , so how can they be sustained? I note that the plans says the infrastructure will be in place before they are built, so how will this occur when those providers are not within your sphere of influence? I alsos object to any new houses being built in the actual village , namely coal yard, Eppng road and Kingsmead hill for the same reasons , the village is being destroyed and becoming part of Harlow, the infrastructure cannot cope

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID	1989	Name	julie nassau
----------------	------	------	--------------

with additional pressures on it. I object to the building of new houses and the phrase affordable housing . This statement is not quantified, what is affordable to one person is not to another , and the housing market is being driven by investors buying up cheap housing to let , so it is a vicious cycle. There is no evidence more housing is required, rather there is a need to change perception that every one should own a house. There is no evidence that professional jobs are being created in Harlow , just low paid unskilled work , so those who need to find career enhancing professional work will continue to travel into London , using the overburdened roads as there are no suitable alternatives.

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Villages being overwhelmed , no use of brown sites, no alternatives considered, why not build on the Olympic park site , a useless sports facility . There is no transports links in the villages so car ownership goes up , there has to be sustainable transport links , tube network needs to go out to waltham abbey , Harlow etc , before more new housing can be planned, and that housing must be centered on the areas of towns that already exist , not cause chaos on country roads that cannot cope with this influx. .The local bus network is hopeless , no bus from greater London to Epping for example or Harlow, nor do bus go easts to west to link up areas of job opportunity in Hertford, St Albans etc. so siting of these houses is flawed.

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Please see question one answers, Harlow will overwhelm the villages around it , there is no infrastructure and the roads are already congested. I object as well as Kingsmead hill has no pavement, nor street lights it is dangerous to walk along here from my house into the village already due to the number of cars , this will only get worse and result in potential fatal accidents. The green belt can only be built on in exceptional circumstances, this is being ignored in this plan and also results in the destruction of the landscape , and the local flora , specifically the black poplars.As already detailed the village is being overwhelmed , and the local communities destroyed especially at Old House Lane

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Professional jobs need to be created , not just low paid semi skilled work eg scientists , medical and nursing jobs , financial and media , how many car repair jobs can there be!!

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

over whelming development of the area, infrastructure unsuitable, road unable to cope with current demands on it, no GP, dentists, inadequate school, inadequate hospital.

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

over whelming development of the area, destruction of the protected green belt infrastructure unsuitable, road unable to cope with current demands on it, no GP, dentists, inadequate school, inadequate hospital.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Adequate hospital capacity and improved tube network to Harlow and waltham abbey must be in place before any building work commences. Unrealistic to state cycle / walk to work there is an aging population which means they do not have the physical capability to do this and the requirement to work for longer means there is a aging workforce , eg walk to work 10 miles each when you are 70, dream on!! In fact it is ageist to have a plan that expects the physical attributes of a 20 year old applies to all.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?