

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2008      Name Ann      Gardner

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Whilst I agree with your vision the plan does not achieve them

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Have the people of Harlow where you seem to place most development been consulted or are we just handing over our problems to another district. The council says that the Green belt should be protected at all costs. There should therefore be NO development in the Green belt. The proposal to build on the station car parks is so ludicrous as to be beyond belief. I assume this is meant as a joke. You state that there should be limited release of Green belt land, yet most of the proposed development in Theydon Bois is on Green belt land. This will completely destroy the village. It will be too large to maintain its character. The local school is already oversubscribed. Where will the additional children go? The Doctors surgery was recently voted the worst in the district and cannot cope with the number of residents. What proposals are there to deal with these shortcomings. There are many sites that could be developed for housing if there is a will. There is a large site in the centre of Epping which has been left desolate for years for years, the old primary school site. If there is a desperate shortage of Housing. why have so many years been wasted when it could have been built upon. The plan is supposed to provide homes for residents children or grandchildren. This will never happen because most planning applications are for kuxury houses far beyond the reaches of young people.

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2008      Name Ann      Gardner

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

**No**

Buckhurst Hill?

**No opinion**

Loughton Broadway?

**No**

Chipping Ongar?

**No opinion**

Loughton High Road?

**No**

Waltham Abbey?

**No**

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

**There are always empty shops in the main shopping areas and simply building more will only make thing more difficult for existing shopkeepers. We don't need any more supermarkets, people will not spent more because there are supermarkets. Build housing instead.**

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

**Most new Houses are in the Green belt. New Houses will be the wrong type and too expensive. Social housing will never be built. The village is of optimum size if it is to retain its character. Large numbers of new houses will destroy the village. A few small number of social housing will be possible.**

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

As the Plan seem not to contain specific proposals to solve these issue it seems to be just a lot of waffle.

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?