



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stak	keholder ID	2017	Name	Janice	Cole	
Met	hod	Survey				
Date	e					
		elements of th	ne full response suc	ch as formatting an		es to the Draft Local Plan Consultation accurately. Should you wish to review Peppingforestdc.gov.uk
Sur	vey Respo	nse:				
1.	Do you agre	e with the o	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest	District?
	Strongly dis	sagree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 1:			
		d that there f the district		rtage in the area	but the proposals do	not give priority to existing
	Do you agre		verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	s out for Epping Forest	District?
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 2:			
	Green Belt wedge'.	land is an in	nportant part of	the landscape ar	d I would suggest that	this is the 'thin end of the
3.	Do you agre	e with the p	roposals for deve	lopment around I	arlow?	
	Strongly dis	sagree				
	Please expla	ain your choi	ce in Question 3:			
	The plans v	vill have a de	etrimental effec	t on the country	ide around Harlow.	

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2017 Name Janice Cole





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in
	Epping?
	No
	Buckhurst Hill?
	No
	Loughton Broadway?
	No
	Chipping Ongar?
	No
	Loughton High Road?
	No
	Waltham Abbey?
	No
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:
	We already have enough retail outlets in the area.
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?
	Agree

Creating local jobs for residents is desirable. However, if the proposals are agreed in conjunction with extra housing, the benefits to local residents will be lost. Also, it is important that brownfield sites are used for this

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2017 Name Janice Cole

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

purpose.





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Nο

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2017 Name Janice Cole





Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

I am opposed to new housing in any areas because it will have a detrimental effect on the whole district. Our roads are congested, there is nowhere to park and the mainline and underground trains are overcrowded.

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

If we do not build new houses we will not require the new infrastructure.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

The vast majority of existing EFDC residents are opposed to these plans because they will change the area beyond all recognition. If our elected councillors vote in favour of these proposals, I would suggest that they have behaved in an undemocratic manner by not honouring the views of the people they represent.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2017 Name Janice Cole





9.	Do yo	u wish	to	comment	on	any	other	policies	in	the	Draft	Local	Plan?
----	-------	--------	----	---------	----	-----	-------	----------	----	-----	-------	-------	-------

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Cole

Stakeholder ID 2017 Name Janice