

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2020 Name Stephen Ward

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

There seems no plan for the impact on local services our Gp surgeries, roads and schools are already over crowded how do you propose to deal with the influx of thousands and thousands of more people?

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

There are other sites including brownfield the distribution seems strangely weighted towards Loughton.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

I am not familiar with Harlow however if I hear that these communities object to your plans I will support them.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2020 Name Stephen Ward

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

Yes

Loughton Broadway?

Yes

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

Yes

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Agree with supporting independent retailers and not more coffee shops, hair dressers estate agents as we have in the High Rd loughton

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

Your record on supporting existing businesses in Loughton is poor, why did we need a Sainsbury Local in the middle of 2 larger stores? Answer we didn't, the local retailers are suffering, traffic is worse than ever. What happened to the money Sainsbury gave you? The state of our roads is a disgrace what are you doing about them now let alone when 3,900-11,400 new homes are built? Your promise of non competing stores on the new site in Langstone Rd has been broken already i.e. Lidle is if we need another supermarket.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

The ludicrous proposal to build in the tube car parks beggars belief, have you stood on the platform at Loughton at 08.00? it is packed yet you want to bring in more people I dare say at the expenses of already scarce parking spaces. The plan to build on our green spaces is unacceptable to the local community as you will have seen no doubt, to build where children play, people walk, run and enjoy the being out in the fresh

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

air (though it wont be very fresh if you have your way). I repeat you have failed to explain what provisions you have made for local services to cope with this massive increase in population

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Your proposed level of development of services appear to fall way short of what will be needed in reality, my Gp practice **...Redacted...** used to be able to offer same day appointments or next day at the latest, due to the high numbers of extra patients they have had to take on when I went in last Monday I was offered an appointment Friday!! They are moving to Trapps Hill Practice the car park for which you are going to build on. How many primary and secondary schools will you need, answer, you don't know proposing to build infrastructure once everyone moves here is not the answer.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?