



Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Sta	ikeholder ID	2031	Name	Beryl	Nash		
Me	thod	Survey					
Da	te						
		elements of th	e full response suc	h as formatting ar	ncil's database of responses to the Draft Local Plad images may not appear accurately. Should you was Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk		
Su	rvey Respo	nse:					
1.			verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	Strongly dis	sagree					
	Please explain your choice in Question 1:						
			Oyrs. It has beco vith so much ove		or schools. Parking is so difficult it would o dy	nly spoil an	
2.	Do you agre	e with the ov	verall vision that	the Draft Plan se	ts out for Epping Forest District?		
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 2:				
	As long as o	loesn't affect	t our doctors and	d schooling.			
3.	Do you agre	e with the pr	oposals for deve	opment around	larlow?		
	Please expla	ain your choid	ce in Question 3:				

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2031 Name Beryl Nash





4.	Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in						
	Epping?						
	Yes						
	Buckhurst Hill?						
	Yes						
	Loughton Broadway?						
	Yes						
	Chipping Ongar?						
	No opinion						
	Loughton High Road?						
	Yes						
	Waltham Abbey?						
	No opinion						
	Please explain your choice in Question 4:						
	Will help economy						
5.	Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?						
	Disagree						
	Please explain your choice in Question 5:						
	No space for parking						

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Nash

Stakeholder ID 2031 Name Beryl

2





6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Loughton is overcrowded

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2031 Name Beryl Nash





No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Schools Doctor surgeries need more help to many people no room to facilitate people's needs.

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Don't agree with proposed plans

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2031 Name Beryl Nash