

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2037      Name Lindsey Venden

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

**While I applaud the stated objectives I don't feel that the proposals reflect them. There is also a lack of attention given to the increased facilities and services required for a town to house this many new people, in fact there seems to be a view that getting rid of existing facilities, especially car parks is beneficial.**

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

**I don't know**

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

**not familiar with Harlow**

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2037

Name Lindsey

Venden

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Yes

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Epping proposal doesn't seem very different to the existing area

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Parking is a real issue already. My street is clogged with parked cars all day long from station commuters. I am strongly against the proposal to redevelop the carpark areas suggested unless there is a robust alternative proposed. The number of cars is increasing not declining. I am also horrified to see the proposal to build housing on the sports centre and St Margarets Hospital land - again is there an alternative proposed? The plan

claims it will enhance and protect leisure facilities, I see nothing proposed to replace these. If Epping is to house thousands more people a solid services and amenities plan must be drafted.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

## Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

- 
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

Lacks detail, all sounds good in theory

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Bus services in Epping should be reviewed as part of the Transport plan. It is not acceptable that on days when there is no tube running it is impossible to get into London by bus.

---