

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2055 Name Jason King

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

I don't see any evidence of investment in infrastructure or preservation of the greenbelt land which is crucial to my families development and well being.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

There is a vast majority of other sites (industrial/brownfield) that are not utilised in the area. These are prime candidates for residential developments.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

The release of green belt land goes against the purpose of identifying land as green belt i.e. it is supposed to be protected from such development.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2055

Name Jason

King

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

I would argue that given the proximity to Harlow and London means there are more than enough job opportunities for anyone. HGV's in Nazeing in their current volume is already a problem. I see no benefit in increasing this due to the lack of investment in local infrastructure and impact to the village.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Aside from the proposed sites being on green belt land, the local infrastructure (electrics, road etc) would struggle to support an increase of this magnitude. There are more suitable sites in surrounding areas that could be developed.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

I don't believe all items in the infrastructure delivery plan to be wholly accurate and would argue that there is no guarantee's of said investment should permission be granted to develop the identified sites around Nazeing. How do we know that any levies will not be spent elsewhere?

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

I believe there has been a clear lack of real assessment on development opportunities in Nazeing and as such the local community and wildlife would only suffer at the hands of meeting housing targets set out by the government. I understand the need for new houses to be built but do not agree that sacrificing green belt land, ignoring other prime sites and not guaranteeing investment in infrastructure as the correct path going forward.

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

I think the EFDC's approach to this consultation has been purposely misleading and difficult to follow. A close to 200 page document that is worded in favour of the proposals is not impartial enough to give a balanced reasoning for residents to make an informed decision. On top of this I have no clue how the elderly in this area are expected to respond. Not being the most affluent they are less likely to have the resources or support to fill this out on line so more effort should of been made to accommodate this section of our society.
