

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2087 Name Mrs Joy Whitney

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Infrastructure can not cope as it is. Already the schools (too many pupils) Doctors, hospitals and dentists are always so fully booked you have to wait weeks for an appointment. Why not sort out the problems we have already instead of building more houses and creating more chaos. As for the green belt and environment, you're saying about protecting it. But you want to build homes on it. What happens to the wild life living on it. As for the environment, more homes mean more cars, means more pollution and more traffic. Hasn't Epping got enough of all that already.

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Strongly disagree housing being built on Green belt and also being mainly in the south of Epping. The areas marked in south of Epping are surrounded by narrow roads that get flooded when it rains.

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

If Harlow wants to become a bigger town, and as long as it has the space and not taking up the green belt. then that should be okay.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2087

Name Mrs Joy

Whitney

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

Buckhurst Hill?

Loughton Broadway?

Chipping Ongar?

Loughton High Road?

Waltham Abbey?

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

Need more information (can not comment)

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

The draft local plan says it wants to protect and make the best possible use of existing employment sites. Yet you want to turn Epping laundry into a residential site. Keep it for employment.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

The Draft Local Plan Hasn't really been thought about. Why is the proposed sites for allocation and green belt amendments all in the south of Epping. Has no one done any research on these sites, if they had, they will know that the roads surrounding these sites are far too small to take the volume of traffic, which will be

caused by building on these sites. Also the roads get flooded when it rains (being in the lowest part of Epping).
Give peace a chance, Give Epping a chance, Keep the Green Belt.

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

-
7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

Strongly disagree

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

There is no infrastructure plan, yet you want to turn Epping sports club and Epping sports centre into residential sites why not leave them as they are (because these need to be replaced but where).

-
8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?

Some of my views on EF DLP draft plan consultation 2016. Homes - the number of homes suggested have come from the district, why such a large number and why hasn't the local government done anything about this, need to rethink site selections and numbers. The increase across the district is 1% but it is 40% of green belt in Epping, is this fair. Also has anyone considered the wild life on the green belt. Why are all the proposed sites for allocation and green belt amendments all in the south of Epping. South of Epping is the lowest part and at the bottom of the hill. Building here will increase the risk of flooding on roads and ditches which we already get. Traffic - has anyone thought about the high volume of traffic we already have in Epping when ever there's a problem on M11 or M25 it cause major traffic jams coming through the town. If the amount of houses suggested are built (1,633) that could mean double the amount of cars which would add to the problems we have already (high volumes of traffic, pollution and parking). The pollution that comes from the amount of traffic that already passes through our town on a daily basis is already threatening the air quality for people living in Epping so adding 3000 plus cars is only going to make matters worse. Our roads are some of the busiest in Essex with tens of thousands of vehicles traveling on them each day. Infrastructure as it is, Ivy Chimney School in the south of Epping is already over populated with children as it is. So seeing most of the properties on plan is in the south, where are the plans to build more schools. Limes medical centre is also over populated, are there plans for another doctors surgery? As for P.A.H always so busy at A & E you have to wait hours to be seen and follow up appointments can take months. Trains are packed before leaving Epping station lucky if you get a seat. Car Park at station if full by 7am -7:30am so commuters have to park in near by roads causing parking issues. These are just some of my views, hope they get read.
