

Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2090 Name LORRAINE Ellis Nazeing Parish Council

Method Survey

Date

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk

Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

In the main Nazeing Parish Council agrees with the observations and objectives set out in Paragraph 3.26

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

Nazeing Parish Council agrees in principle with the provisions of Draft Policy SP2 subject to the specific observations relating to Nazeing made in response to Question 6

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

Please see response to Question 6

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2090

Name LORRAINE

Ellis

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No opinion

Buckhurst Hill?

No opinion

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No opinion

Loughton High Road?

No opinion

Waltham Abbey?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

Agree

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

See response to Qt 6.

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

No

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Nazeing Parish Council agrees with the comments and vision for Nazeing set out in Paragraphs 5.164 to 5.169 (inclusive). In relation to the sites referred to in Draft Policy P P10 Nazeing Parish Council comments as follows:- **RESIDENTIAL** (i)Notwithstanding that it will result in a loss of Green Belt land in principle Nazeing Parish Council will accept development in St Leonards Road. Nazeing Parish Council would however prefer the plans previously submitted by a private developer which include sports and other facilities since these would be for the general benefit of the Village. Accordingly Nazeing Parish Council would agree to residential development on the sites identified as SR-0300c and SR-0011 but not on site SR-0473 which is considered to

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

be too far away from the village envelope and would create a corridor of development. (ii)Development on the site identified as SR-0150 is acceptable to Nazeing Parish Council. (iii)Nazeing Parish Council would object to any residential development on the sites identified as SR-0300b and SR0300a which are located in a conservation area. In any event development of these sites should not be required if all the other proposed sites are utilised. In addition to the above Nazeing Parish Council propose residential development on part of the site identified as SR-0151 namely the wood-yard and the adjacent glasshouses EMPLOYMENT ELR-0099This site is supported for light industrial purposes. SR-0151No extension of the commercial use of this site (Birchwood) should take place and residential development should be considered. SR-0580This is the site of a proposed Care Home and is supported by Nazeing Parish Council. ELR-0100Stoneshot - Planning Permission has been given for change of use to residential. EMP-0007Nazeing Glassworks - Nazeing Parish Council support the continuation of the current use and any extension which can be accommodated on the site. EMP-0009Hillgrove - Nazeing Parish Council support the continuation of the current use and any extension which can be accommodated on the site. General concerns and observations (i)Consideration should be given to the change of use to other purposes of glasshouse sites which are redundant and not viable. (ii)Over the years Industrial use of various sites in and around Nazeing has occurred which is unsuitable and out of keeping in a rural area. Employment sites should be restricted to those specified above. (iii)Any new commercial sites should be towards Harlow and away from either Nazeing or Roydon. TRAVELLER SITES Nazeing Parish Council agree with the conclusion noted in Paragraph 5.170. It appears that 15% of all the sites in the County of Essex and 85% of those in Epping Forest District are in Nazeing and Roydon. Accordingly Nazeing Parish Council consider that no further expansion of this use, either by granting permission for new sites or extension of those already in existence, should take place. GENERAL PROPOSALS A new school is required in Nazeing. Apart from the present buildings being in a poor condition there is serious overcrowding. Further the school site is in the middle of a housing estate and there are difficulties with access. At the rear of Langley Green there is a large piece of waste land which was formerly a gravel site. Access to this land can be obtained from New Nazeing Road opposite Black Adder. Consideration could be given to negotiating a land exchange (as happened in the case of St. John's School, Epping) with a view to moving the school to the rear of Langley Green and developing the present school site for housing. This would then be in the centre of the current residential estate.

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

No opinion

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
-

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?