

## Epping Forest District Council Representations to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2111      Name Ben      Davies

---

Method Survey

---

Date

---

This document has been created using information from the Council's database of responses to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016. Some elements of the full response such as formatting and images may not appear accurately. Should you wish to review the original response, please contact the Planning Policy team: [ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk](mailto:ldfconsult@eppingforestdc.gov.uk)

### Survey Response:

1. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 1:

Car park - parking is already heavily restricted and difficult to park in Buckhurst Hill. Removing 102 parking spaces is not viable, where will the business owners and commuters park? Lower queens road sr-0813 - economically unviable, the proposed gain only 11 flats!! The infrastructure can not handle these proposed plans, Buckhurst Hill can't cope now with its existing residents. Pot holes left unfilled, schools full ( even with additional current expansions)

---

2. Do you agree with the overall vision that the Draft Plan sets out for Epping Forest District?

**No opinion**

Please explain your choice in Question 2:

There are other areas in Epping forest that could cope with additional housing such as Harlow!

---

3. Do you agree with the proposals for development around Harlow?

**Strongly agree**

Please explain your choice in Question 3:

They have the sites available to build on

---

### Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Stakeholder ID 2111

Name Ben

Davies

---

4. Do you agree with the proposed shopping area in...

Epping?

No

Buckhurst Hill?

No

Loughton Broadway?

No opinion

Chipping Ongar?

No

Loughton High Road?

No

Waltham Abbey?

Yes

Please explain your choice in Question 4:

All of th areas I have marked with a NO can.not handle anymore residents. Harlow can!

---

5. Do you agree with the proposals for new employment development?

No opinion

Please explain your choice in Question 5:

---

6. Do you agree with the proposed sites in your area?

Epping (Draft Policy P 1):

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Epping:

Loughton (Draft Policy P 2)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Loughton:

Waltham Abbey (Draft Policy P 3)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Waltham Abbey:

Chipping Ongar (Draft Policy P 4)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Chipping Ongar:

Buckhurst Hill (Draft Policy P 5)

**No**

Please provide reasons for your view on Buckhurst Hill:

**As already explained in this survey Buckhurst Hill is full and the infrastructure can not cope as is! Schools are full, roads are not maintained!**

North Weald Bassett (Draft Policy P 6)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Chigwell (Draft Policy P 7)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on North Weald Bassett:

Theydon Bois (Draft Policy P 8)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Theydon Bois:

Roydon (Draft Policy P 9)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Roydon:

Nazeing (Draft Policy P 10)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Nazeing:

Thornwood (Draft Policy P 11)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Thornwood:

Response to the Draft Local Plan Consultation 2016 (Regulation 18)

Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots (Draft Policy P 12)

**No opinion**

Please provide reasons for your view on Coopersale, Fyfield, High Ongar, Lower Sheering, Moreton, Sewardstonbury, Sheering, Stapleford Abbots:

---

7. Do you agree with the approach to infrastructure provision being proposed in the plan?

**Strongly disagree**

Please explain your choice in Question 7:

**The infrastructure can not cope with more housing!**

---

8. An Interim Sustainability Appraisal has been commissioned to support the Draft Local Plan. We would welcome any comments you may have on this.
- 

9. Do you wish to comment on any other policies in the Draft Local Plan?